arXiv:1608.06077v1 [math.AG] 22 Aug 2016

GEOMETRY OF GENERALIZED AMOEBAS

YURY V. ELIYASHEV

ABSTRACT. Recently Krichever proposed a generalization of the amoeba and the Ronkin function of a plane algebraic curve. In our paper higher-dimensional version of this generalization is studied. We translate to the generalized case different geometric results that are knows in the standard amoebas case.

INTRODUCTION.

The amoeba $\mathscr{A}_X$ of a closed complex analytic set X in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^m$ is, by definition, the image in $\mathbb{R}^m$ of this set under the map

$$\mathrm{Log} : (z_1,\dots,z_m) \to (\log|z_1|,\dots,\log|z_m|).$$

The amoeba $\mathscr{A}_F$ of a Laurent polynomial $F(z)$ in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^m$ is the image of the hypersurface $\{F(z)=0\}$ under the map Log. In this paper we will call these objects classical amoebas. The notion of amoeba was introduced by Gelfand, Kapranov and Zelevinsky in [5].

Recently Krichever introduced generalized amoebas of complex curves [10]. To define this object one need to choose a smooth complex curve C and a pair of imaginary normalized holomorphic differential ω_1,ω_2 (imaginary normalized holomorphic differential are meromorphic differential forms on C with some additional properties).

In our paper we define the notion of a generalized amoeba in higher dimensions, this object is the main object of our study. The main purpose of this paper is to prove results about the generalized amoebas in any dimension that are known in the classical case and in the one-dimension generalized case. Some of these generalizations are proved in a similar way to the classical ones. Others are more complicated, the main problem is that some classical proofs are based on manipulations with the Laurent polynomial $F(z)$, in the generalized case we have no analog of this polynomial and this is the main source of difficulties.

Let us recall some facts about the classical amoebas of a Laurent polynomial $F(z)$. Denote by Υ the set of connected components of $\mathbb{R}^m \setminus \mathscr{A}_F$. Any component $C \in \Upsilon$ is a convex set in $\mathbb{R}^m$. Let us define the Ronkin function $R_F : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}$ of the amoeba $\mathscr{A}_F$ as follows

$$R_F(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^m} \int_{\mathrm{Log}^{-1}(x)} \log|F(z)| \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{dz_m}{z_m}.$$

The Ronkin function R_F is convex and its restriction to any connected components of $\mathbb{R}^m \setminus \mathscr{A}_F$ is an affine function. Therefore one can define the map ν_F from the set Υ to $\mathbb{R}^m$ that takes each $C \in \Upsilon$ to $\nabla R_f(x)$, where $x \in C$. This map is called the order map. In fact, ν_F is injective, integer valued and its image is contained in the Newton polytope N_F of the polynomial F. Moreover, the convex hull of the image of ν_F coincides with N_F. One of the main fact about geometry of $\mathscr{A}_F$ is that the recession cone of a component $C \in \Upsilon$ is equal to the normal cone to the Newton polytope N_F at the point $\nu_F(C)$, [6].

Suppose that X is an $n-$dimensional compact complex manifold and V is a simple normal crossing divisor on X. Denote by $H^0(X,\Omega^1(\log V))$ the space of holomorphic 1-forms on $X \setminus V$ with logarithmic poles along V. A from $\psi \in H^0(X,\Omega^1(\log V))$ is called an imaginary normalized holomorphic differential if $d\psi = 0$ and for any cycle $\gamma \in H_1(X \setminus V, \mathbb{R})$ holds

$$\int_\gamma \psi \in i\mathbb{R}.$$

The research was supported by the grant of the Russian Federation Government for research under the supervision of a leading scientist at Siberian Federal University, contract 14.Y26.31.0006. The work was financed by the grant of the President of the Russian Federation for state support of leading scientific schools NSh-9149.2016.1. The author is supported in part by a Dynasty Foundation grant.

Let $\omega = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_m)$ be a vector of imaginary normalized holomorphic differentials, then we define the following map from $X \setminus V$ to $\mathbb{R}^m$

$$\mathrm{Log}_{\omega, p_0}(p) = (\mathrm{Re}\int_{p_0}^{p} \omega_1, \dots, \mathrm{Re}\int_{p_0}^{p} \omega_m),$$

where $p, p_0 \in X \setminus V$. The choice of the point p_0 does not play much role, so we will usual write just Log_ω instead of $\mathrm{Log}_{\omega, p_0}$.

We say that the image of $X \setminus V$ in $\mathbb{R}^m$ under the map Log_ω is the generalized amoeba associated with ω and the pair X, V and write $\mathscr{A}_\omega$. This is the main object of study of this paper. We show that generalized amoebas have properties similar to classical amoebas.

This paper is organized as follows. In the first section we give the definition of a generalized amoeba. We describe the set of critical values of the map $\mathrm{Log}_{\omega, p_0}$ and the asymptotic behavior of an generalized amoeba. Also we give a compete description of the space of imaginary normalized holomorphic differential when X is a Kähler manifold with a fixed simple normal crossing divisor V on it.

In the second section we study generalized amoebas when $m = n+1$ (here $n = \dim X$ and $m = \dim \mathbb{R}^m$). This case a generalized analog of a hypersurface amoeba, geometry of classical amoebas of hypersurface in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^m$ is the most studied and well-described topic in this area. Most of the results of this section in the case when $n = 1, m = 2$ was obtained in [10].

Consider the differential form

$$\Omega_j = \omega_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{j-1} \wedge \omega_{j+1} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_m.$$

The say that the generalized amoeba $\mathscr{A}_\omega$ satisfies the nondegeneracy condition if exits j such that $\Omega_j \not\equiv 0$ on X. When we deal with the $m = n+1$ case we assume that the generalized amoeba $\mathscr{A}_\omega$ satisfies the nondegeneracy condition.

Our main tool to study the $m = n+1$ case is the Ronkin function of a generalized amoeba. Because we don't have the polynomial F we can't use the classical definition. Let us denote the Ronkin function of the generalized amoeba $\mathscr{A}_\omega$ by R_ω, this function in not necessarily smooth. Suppose that $\phi(x)$ is a smooth function with a compact support and $dx = dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_m$; then we say that a function R_ω is the Ronkin function of the generalized amoeba $\mathscr{A}_\omega$ if

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_k \partial x_j} R_\omega[\phi(x)dx] = \frac{(-1)^{j+k+\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}}{2^n} \mathrm{Re}\int_{X\setminus V} \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} \mathrm{Log}_\omega^*(\phi)\Omega_j \wedge \overline{\Omega}_k,$$

for any smooth smooth function $\phi(x)$ with a compact support, here $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_k \partial x_j} R_\omega[\phi(x)dx]$ denotes evaluation of the distribution $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_k \partial x_j} R_\omega$ on the test form $\phi(x)dx$, in other words, one can understand it formally as

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_k \partial x_j} R_\omega[\phi(x)dx] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} (\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_k \partial x_j} R_\omega)\phi(x)dx.$$

We prove that this function exists, it is convex, and the restriction of R_ω to any connected component of $\mathbb{R}^m \setminus \mathscr{A}_\omega$ is an affine function.

Now, denote by Υ the set of connected component of $\mathbb{R}^m \setminus \mathscr{A}_\omega$. We prove that each component $C \in \Upsilon$ is convex. In the generalized case we define the order map $\nu_\omega : \Upsilon \to \mathbb{R}^m$ in the same way as it have been done in the classical case, i.e., $\nu_\omega(C) = \nabla R_\omega(x)$, where x is any point from C. Again, because we don't have the polynomial F we can't use the classical definition of the Newton polytope, so we use another way. We define the Newton polytope N_ω of the amoeba $\mathscr{A}_\omega$ to be equal to the convex hull of the image of the order map ν_ω. We prove that ν_ω is injective and the recession cone of a component $C \in \Upsilon$ is equal to the normal cone to the Newton polytope N_ω at the point $\nu_\omega(C)$, obviously, this is generalization of the classical case statement.

Also we prove several statements about the Monge-Ampère measure associated with R_ω, these statements are generalization of results from [14].

In the last section we give a coordinate-free construction of the generalized amoeba and reformulate results of the first two section in a coordinate-free form. In particular, we interpret the Hessian of the Ronkin function as a positive $(1,1)-$supercurrent

$$\sum_{j,k=1}^{m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_k \partial x_j} R_\omega dx_j \otimes dx_k.$$

Then the existence and properties of the Ronkin function is implied from the tropical analog of the $\partial\overline{\partial}-$lemma. The notions of supercurrents and superform was introduced in the tropical geometry setting [8], [11].

Also we should mention another paper on the generalized amoeba topic. The tropical limit of an generalized amoeba of a complex curve have been studied in [12], that paper describes interesting relations between generalized amoebas, tropical curves and geometry of the moduli space of complex curves.

1. Definition of generalized amoeba and its general properties.

1.1. Definition of generalized amoeba.

Definition 1.1. Let X be a closed analytical subset of the algebraic torus $(\mathbb{C}^*)^m$. Consider the map

$$\mathrm{Log} : (\mathbb{C}^*)^m \to \mathbb{R}^m,$$
$$\mathrm{Log}(z_1,\dots,z_m) = (\log|z_1|,\dots,\log|z_m|).$$

The *amoeba* $\mathscr{A}_X \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ of X is the image of X under the map Log, i.e.,

$$\mathscr{A}_X = \mathrm{Log}(X).$$

usually we will call it a classical amoeba.

Let X be a smooth compact complex $n-$dimensional manifold. Consider $V = \bigcup_j D_j$ a simple normal crossing divisor on X, i.e., all irreducible components D_j are smooth and for any point $x \in V$ there are local coordinates $z_1,\dots,z_n$ on X such that V is locally defined by the equation $z_1\cdot\ldots\cdot z_k = 0$ in some neighborhood $U \subset X$ of x.

Definition 1.2. Let $\Omega^1_X(\log V)$ be a locally free $\mathscr{O}_X$-module, that is generated on an open neighborhood U by the differentials

$$\frac{dz_1}{z_1},\dots,\frac{dz_k}{z_k},dz_{k+1},\dots,dz_n,$$

where $z_1\cdot\ldots\cdot z_k = 0$ is a local equation of V in U. In other words any element $\omega \in \Omega^1_X(\log V)(U)$ has the following form

$$\omega = \sum_{j=1}^{k} f_j(z)\frac{dz_j}{z_j} + \sum_{j=k+1}^{n} f_j(z)dz_j,$$

where $f_j(z) \in \mathscr{O}_X(U)$. This sheaf is called the *sheaf of holomorphic* 1*-forms on* $X\setminus V$ *with logarithmic poles along* V.

Definition 1.3. Consider a 1-form $\omega \in H^0(X,\Omega^1_X(\log V))$ such that $d\omega = 0$ on $X\setminus V$. The 1-form ω is called *imaginary normalized holomorphic differential* if for any cycle $\gamma \in H_1(X\setminus V,\mathbb{R})$ holds

$$\int_\gamma \omega \in i\mathbb{R}.$$

Given a vector of imaginary normalized holomorphic differentials $\omega = (\omega_1,\dots,\omega_m)$ and a point $p_0 \in X\setminus V$, define the map

$$\mathrm{Log}_{\omega,p_0} : X\setminus V \to \mathbb{R}^m,$$
$$\mathrm{Log}_{\omega,p_0}(p) = (\mathrm{Re}\int_{p_0}^{p}\omega_1,\dots,\mathrm{Re}\int_{p_0}^{p}\omega_m),$$
$$x_j(p) = \mathrm{Re}\int_{p_0}^{p}\omega_j.$$

Because the form ω_j is an imaginary normalized holomorphic differential, the real part of $\int_{p_0}^{p}\omega_j$ does not depend on the choice of a path of integration, hence the map $\mathrm{Log}_{\omega,p_0}$ is well-defined. Obviously, for $p_0, p_0' \in X\setminus V$ the corresponding maps $\mathrm{Log}_{\omega,p_0}$, $\mathrm{Log}_{\omega,p_0'}$ differ from each other by the shift by the vector

$$(\mathrm{Re}\int_{p_0}^{p_0'}\omega_1,\dots,\mathrm{Re}\int_{p_0}^{p_0'}\omega_m).$$

Therefore the choice of the point p_0 does not play much role, we consider the maps $\mathrm{Log}_{\omega,p_0}$ for the different choices of p_0 to be equivalent, and we will usually write just Log_ω instead of $\mathrm{Log}_{\omega,p_0}$.

Denote by $\mathrm{Sing}\,\omega_j$ the union of all D_k such that ω_j has a pole along D_k.

Definition 1.4. Let $\omega=(\omega_1,\dots,\omega_m)$ be a vector of imaginary normalized holomorphic differentials such that $\bigcup_j \operatorname{Sing}\omega_j = V$. The *generalized amoeba* $\mathscr{A}_\omega\subset\mathbb{R}^m$ associated with ω and the pair X,V is the image of the map $\operatorname{Log}_\omega : X\setminus V\to\mathbb{R}^m$.

Example 1.1. Let X be a smooth algebraic variety in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^m$, we may think that there is some smooth compactification $\overline{X}$ of X. Choose $\omega=(\omega_1,\dots,\omega_m)$ as follows

$$\omega_j=\frac{dz_j}{z_j}|_X.$$

Then $\operatorname{Log}_\omega=\operatorname{Log}|_X$ and the generalized amoeba $\mathscr{A}_\omega$ coincides with the classical amoeba $\mathscr{A}_X$.

The generalized amoebas of one-dimensional complex manifolds was introduced in [10]. Let us consider the main object of study of [10].

Example 1.2. Let C be a smooth algebraic curve of genus g with s distinct marked points $p_1,\dots,p_s$. For any set of numbers $a_1,\dots,a_s\in\mathbb{R}$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^s a_j=0$ there is a unique imaginary normalized holomorphic differential ω such that it has poles of order ≤ 1 at the points p_j and $\operatorname{res}_{p_j}\omega=a_j$. Let ω_1 and ω_2 be such differentials then there is the logarithmic map

$$\operatorname{Log}_{(\omega_1,\omega_2)} : C\setminus(p_1\cup\dots\cup p_s)\to\mathbb{R}^2,$$

and its image is called a *generalized amoeba of a complex curve with marked points*.

Definition 1.5. Let X be a closed analytical subset of the algebraic torus $(\mathbb{C}^*)^m$. Consider the map

$$\operatorname{Arg} : (\mathbb{C}^*)^m\to(S^1)^m=\mathbb{R}^m/2\pi\mathbb{Z}^m,$$
$$\operatorname{Arg}(z_1,\dots,z_m)=(\operatorname{Arg}(z_1),\dots,\operatorname{Arg}(z_m)),$$

where $\operatorname{Arg}(z)\in\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ is the argument of a complex number z. The *coamoeba* $\mathscr{C}_X$ of X is the image of X under the map Arg, i.e., $\mathscr{C}_X=\operatorname{Arg}(X)$.

Remark 1.1. In some sense coamoebas play a complementary role to amoebas. One can define a generalized coamoeba and some kind of hybrids between a coamoeba and an amoeba in a very similar fashion. Let Λ_r be a lattice of rank r in $\mathbb{R}^m$. Let $\omega=(\omega_1,\dots,\omega_m)$ be a vector of closed holomorphic $1-$form on $X\setminus V$ such that for any cycle $\gamma\in H_1(X\setminus V,\mathbb{Z})$ holds

$$\int_\gamma\omega\in\Lambda_r+i\mathbb{R}^m\subset\mathbb{C}^m=\mathbb{R}^m+i\mathbb{R}^m.$$

Then for a fixed point $p_0\in X\setminus V$ one can defined the map

$$\operatorname{Log}_\omega : X\setminus V\to\mathbb{R}^m/\Lambda_r\simeq(S^1)^r\times\mathbb{R}^{m-r},$$
$$\operatorname{Log}_\omega(p)=(\operatorname{Re}\int_{p_0}^p\omega_1,\dots,\operatorname{Re}\int_{p_0}^p\omega_m)\bmod\Lambda_r.$$

For a smooth algebraic variety X in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^m$, the vector $\omega=(\omega_1,\dots,\omega_m)$, $\omega_j=\frac{1}{i}\frac{dz_j}{z_j}|_X$, and the lattice $\Lambda_m=2\pi\mathbb{Z}^m$ we obtain a classical coamoeba. In this article we don't study generalized coamoebas, but it seems that one can translate some properties of classical coamoebas and of generalized amoebas to the generalized coamoebas case.

Proposition 1.1. *The map* $\operatorname{Log}_\omega$ *is closed and proper. In particular, the amoeba* $\mathscr{A}_\omega$ *is a closed set in* $\mathbb{R}^m$.

Proof. Let us consider one-point compactification of $\mathbb{R}^m$, that is $S^m=\mathbb{R}^m\cup\infty$. Observe that $||\operatorname{Log}_\omega(p)||\to+\infty$ as $p\to p'\in V$. Therefore there is a continuous map $\widetilde{\operatorname{Log}}_\omega : X\to S^m$ such that $\widetilde{\operatorname{Log}}_\omega|_{X\setminus V}=\operatorname{Log}_\omega$ and $\widetilde{\operatorname{Log}}_\omega(p)=\infty$ for $p\in V$. The closed map lemma states that every continuous map $f : X\to Y$ from a compact space X to a Hausdorff space Y is closed and proper. Therefore $\widetilde{\operatorname{Log}}_\omega$ is closed and proper.

Let C be a closed set in $X\setminus V$, consider C as a subset of X. Then the image of the closure $\overline{C}$ of C in X under the map $\widetilde{\operatorname{Log}}_\omega$ is a closed set in S^m, whence $\widetilde{\operatorname{Log}}_\omega(\overline{C})\cap\mathbb{R}^m$ is a closed set in $\mathbb{R}^m$. Since $\widetilde{\operatorname{Log}}_\omega(\overline{C})\cap\mathbb{R}^m=\operatorname{Log}_\omega(C)$, we obtain that $\operatorname{Log}_\omega(C)$ is closed set in $\mathbb{R}^m$ and $\operatorname{Log}_\omega$ is a closed map.

Let C be a compact set in $\mathbb{R}^m$, then $\widetilde{\operatorname{Log}}_\omega^{-1}(C)$ is a compact set in X. Moreover $\widetilde{\operatorname{Log}}_\omega^{-1}(C)=\operatorname{Log}_\omega^{-1}(C)$, thus $\operatorname{Log}_\omega^{-1}(C)$ is a compact set in $X\setminus V$ and $\operatorname{Log}_\omega$ is a popper map.

In particular, the amoeba $\mathscr{A}_\omega=\operatorname{Log}_\omega(X\setminus V)$ is a closed set in $\mathbb{R}^m$. □

1.2. **Critical points of the logarithmic map.** Now we are going to describe the critical points of the map Log_ω. First let us introduce some notation. For any point $z \in X \setminus V$ there is the holomorphic map

$$\mathrm{Log}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\omega,z}(p) = (\int_z^p \omega_1, \dots, \int_z^p \omega_m) \in \mathbb{C}^m,$$

this map is well-defined in a small neighborhood of point z. Consider its differential $d_z\mathrm{Log}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\omega,z} : T_z(X \setminus V) \to T_0\mathbb{C}^m \simeq \mathbb{C}^m$ at the point z. Denote by L_z the image of $d_z\mathrm{Log}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\omega,z}$, we consider L_z as a complex linear subspace in $\mathbb{C}^m$.

Proposition 1.2. *The rank of the differential of* Log_ω *at a point* $z \in X \setminus V$ *equals*

$$2\dim_{\mathbb{C}} L_z - \dim_{\mathbb{C}} L_z \cap \overline{L_z},$$

where $\overline{L_z}$ *is a complex linear space conjugated to* L_z*. The point* $z \in X \setminus V$ *is a critical point of the map* Log_ω *if and only if*

- $L_z \cap \overline{L_z} \neq 0$ *or* $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} L_z < n$*, when* $2n \leq m$*;*
- $2\dim_{\mathbb{C}} L_z - \dim_{\mathbb{C}} L_z \cap \overline{L_z} < m$*, when* $2n \geq m$*.*

This proposition is an extension to the generalized case of Theorem 2 from [2] and of Theorem 3.2 from [13].

Proof. Given a point $z \in X \setminus V$, then for any point p in a small neighborhood of z we have

$$\mathrm{Log}_{\omega,p_0}(p) = \mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{Log}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\omega,z}(p)) + \mathrm{Log}_{\omega,p_0}(z).$$

Whence the differential $d_z\mathrm{Log}_{\omega,p_0} : T_z(X \setminus V) \to T_x\mathbb{R}^m \simeq \mathbb{R}^m$, where $x = \mathrm{Log}_{\omega,p_0}(z)$, can be represented as the composition of two maps

$$d_z\mathrm{Log}_{\omega,p_0} = \mathrm{Re} \circ d_z\mathrm{Log}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\omega,z} : T_z(X \setminus V) \overset{d_z\mathrm{Log}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\omega,z}}{\to} \mathbb{C}^m \overset{\mathrm{Re}}{\to} \mathbb{R}^m.$$

The rank of $d_z\mathrm{Log}_{\omega,p_0}$ is the dimension of $\mathrm{Im}d_z\mathrm{Log}_{\omega,p_0}$, it is equal to

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{Im}d_z\mathrm{Log}_{\omega,p_0} = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} L_z - \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(L_z \cap \ker \mathrm{Re}).$$

Obviously, $v \in \ker \mathrm{Re} = i\mathbb{R}^m \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ if and only if $\overline{v} = -v$. So, if $v \in L_z \cap \ker \mathrm{Re}$, then $v \in \overline{L_z}$ and $v \in L_z \cap \overline{L_z} \cap \ker \mathrm{Re}$. Let us show that $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} L_z \cap \overline{L_z} \cap \ker \mathrm{Re} = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} L_z \cap \overline{L_z}$. Consider two *real* linear subspaces in $L_z \cap \overline{L_z}$

$$V_1 = \{v \in L_z \cap \overline{L_z} : \overline{v} = v\},$$

$$V_2 = \{v \in L_z \cap \overline{L_z} : \overline{v} = -v\}.$$

Obviously, $V_2 = iV_1$, i.e., $v \in V_1$ iff $iv \in V_2$. Take a vector $v \in L_z \cap \overline{L_z}$, then $\overline{v} \in L_z \cap \overline{L_z}$ and we have the decomposition $v = \frac{v+\overline{v}}{2} + \frac{v-\overline{v}}{2}$, $\frac{v+\overline{v}}{2} \in V_1, \frac{v-\overline{v}}{2} \in V_2$, therefore $L_z \cap \overline{L_z} = V_1 \oplus V_2 = V_1 \oplus iV_1$. Observe that $V_2 = L_z \cap \overline{L_z} \cap \ker \mathrm{Re}$, thus we obtain

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}} L_z \cap \overline{L_z} \cap \ker \mathrm{Re} = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} V_2 = \frac{1}{2}\dim_{\mathbb{R}} L_z \cap \overline{L_z} = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} L_z \cap \overline{L_z}.$$

Hence the rank of the map Log_ω at the point z is equal to $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{Im}d_z\mathrm{Log}_{\omega,p_0} = 2\dim_{\mathbb{C}} L_z - \dim_{\mathbb{C}} L_z \cap \overline{L_z}$.

The point z is critical iff the rank of the differential of the map $\mathrm{Log}_{\omega,p_0}$ is lesser then $\min(\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R}^m, \dim_{\mathbb{R}} X \setminus V)$. Using the formula for the rank we obtain the following condition

$$2\dim_{\mathbb{C}} L_z - \dim_{\mathbb{C}} L_z \cap \overline{L_z} < \min(m, 2n),$$

this gives us the statement of the proposition. □

Remark 1.2. Let us write down L_z explicitly. Suppose $z_1, \dots, z_n$ are local coordinates in a neighborhood of some point in $X \setminus V$; then locally we have $\omega_j = \sum_{k=1}^n f_{jk}(z)dz_k$. The space L_z is a $\mathbb{C}-$linear span of the vectors

$$\phi_k(z) = (f_{1k}(z), \dots, f_{mk}(z)), k = 1, \dots, n.$$

Remark 1.3. Let $\widetilde{X} \subset X \setminus V$ be a set of points $z \in X$ such that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} L_z = n$. If $\widetilde{X} \neq \emptyset$, then it is a dense open subset. It is easy to check that $\widetilde{X} \neq \emptyset$ iff $n \leq m$ and the amoeba satisfies nondegeneracy condition (see below, Definition 1.7). Let us define the *logarithmic Gauss map* as follows

$$G : \widetilde{X} \to \mathrm{Gr}(n,m),$$

$$G(z) = [L_z] \in \mathrm{Gr}(n,m),$$

where $[L_z]$ is the class of the vector subspace $L_z \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ in the Grassmannian $\mathrm{Gr}(n,m)$. The critical points of the logarithmic map in the classical case have been studied in terms of the logarithmic Gauss map [2],[13].

1.3. **Imaginary normalized holomorphic differentials on Kähler manifolds.** For any 1-form $\omega \in H^0(X, \Omega^1_X(\log V))$, $V = \bigcup_j D_j$, such that $d\omega = 0$, we define *the residue of the from ω along D_j* to be equal to

$$\mathrm{Res}_{D_j} \omega = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma_j} \omega,$$

where γ_j is a canonically oriented boundary of a small complex disc transversal to the hypersurface D_j, i.e., let p be a arbitrary point from $D_j \setminus \bigcup_{q \neq j} D_q$, and $z_1, \dots, z_n$ be a local coordinates in a neighborhood of p such that $z_1 = 0$ is a local equation of D_j, then

$$\gamma_j = \{|z_1| = \varepsilon, z_2 = \dots = z_n = 0\},$$

where ε is small and the orientation of γ_j is natural, i.e., the differential form $\frac{1}{2\pi i}\frac{dz_1}{z_1}$ is positive on γ_j. It is easy to see that homological class of γ_j in $H_1(X \setminus V)$ does not depend on the choice of p, ε and local coordinates, this class depends only from D_j, therefore $\mathrm{Res}_{D_j} \omega$ is well-defined. In the local coordinates $z_1, \dots, z_n$ the differential form ω has the following form

$$\omega = \sum_{k=1}^{r} Res_{D_{j_k}} \frac{dz_k}{z_k} + \theta,$$

where $z_k = 0$ is the local equation of D_{j_k} and θ is a closed holomorphic 1-from.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $V = \bigcup_{j=1}^{s} D_j$ be a simple normal crossing divisor on a compact Kähler manifold X.*

(1) *There is a 1-form ω such that*

$$\omega \in H^0(X, \Omega^1_X(\log V)), d\omega = 0, \mathrm{Res}_{D_j} \omega = a_j \in \mathbb{C}, j = 1, \dots, s,$$

if and only if

$$\sum_{j=1}^{s} a_j [D_j] = 0$$

in $H_{2n-2}(X, \mathbb{C})$.

(2) *Moreover, for any real numbers $a_1, \dots, a_s \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^{s} a_j [D_j] = 0$ in $H_{2n-2}(X, \mathbb{R})$ there exists a unique normalized holomorphic differential ω with logarithmic poles along V such that $\mathrm{Res}_{D_j} \omega = a_j$ for any j.*

Remark 1.4. Theorem 1.1 has two meanings: first, there quite many generalized amoebas, because the existence conditions for normalized holomorphic differential is not too restrictive, second, for a compact Kähler manifold X and a given normal crossing divisor V we can parameterize all possible generalized amoebas.

Proof. The first part of this statement is follows from the construction of the mixed Hodge structure on a smooth open quasi-projective variety, the second part follows from the first part and non-degeneracy of a period matrix of holomorphic 1-forms on X. Anyway we will give the direct proof.

Let us prove the "if" part of statement (1). Let L_j be a line bundle associated with the divisor D_j, let us fix a hermitian metric $|\cdot|$ on L_j. There is a holomorphic section s_j such that the divisor D_j is the zero set of s_j. Denote $\eta_j = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \partial \log |s_j|^2$. Suppose that $z_1 = 0$ is a local equation of D_j in a neighborhood U of a point $z \in X$, then η_j locally looks like

$$\eta_j = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{dz_1}{z_1} + \theta_j, \tag{1}$$

where θ_j is a 1-form with C^∞-coefficients. Observe that η_j is well-defined current on a C^∞-forms on X, in other words, the integral

$$[\eta_j](\psi) = \int_X \eta_j \wedge \psi$$

converges for any C^∞-differential form ψ on X. Indeed, one can easily check this using partition of unity and local form (1) of η_j.

Observe that $d\eta_j = \overline{\partial}\eta_j = [D_j] + \Theta_j$, where $[D_j]$ is the currents of integration over the divisor D_j, i.e., $[D_j](\psi) = \int_{D_j} \psi$, and Θ_j is a $(1,1)$-differential form on X with $C^\infty-$coefficients. Indeed, taking the exterior derivative of (1) in the scene of currents we get this formula.

Consider the differential form

$$\eta = \sum_{j=1}^{s} a_j \eta_j,$$

then

$$d\eta = \sum_{j=1}^{s} a_j [D_j] + \sum_{j=1}^{s} a_j \Theta_j.$$

By assumptions of the theorem, we have $\sum_{j=1}^{s} a_j [D_j] = 0$ in $H_{2n-2}(X,\mathbb{C})$, this implies that the current of integration $\sum_{j=1}^{s} a_j [D_j]$ is cohomologous to zero, therefore it is exact and $\sum_{j=1}^{s} a_j [D_j] = d\mu$ for some current μ. Whence $\sum_{j=1}^{s} a_j \Theta_j = d(\eta - \mu)$ is an exact $(1,1)$-differential form with $C^\infty-$coefficients on the Kähler manifold X. Using $\partial\overline{\partial}-$lemma we obtain a smooth function f such that $\overline{\partial}\partial f = \sum_{j=1}^{s} a_j \Theta_j$.

Consider the differential form

$$\omega = \eta - \partial f.$$

Since we have $d\omega = \sum_{j=1}^{s} a_j [D_j]$, we get $d\omega \equiv 0$ on $X \setminus V$. Hence ω is a closed holomorphic form on $X \setminus V$. Moreover, by construction, ω has a logarithmic-singularities along V and prescribed residues along D_j, $j = 1,\dots,s$. This proves "if" part of statement (1).

Let us prove "only if" part of statement (1). Suppose that there is a form ω such that

$$\omega \in H^0(X, \Omega^1_X(\log V)), d\omega = 0, \mathrm{Res}_{D_j}\omega = a_j \in \mathbb{C}, j = 1,\dots,s.$$

Then $d\omega = \sum_{j=1}^{s} a_j [D_j]$, where $[D_j]$ is the current of integration along D_j. Because ω define well-defined current on X, i.e, the integral

$$[\omega](\phi) = \int_X \omega\phi$$

converges for any C^∞-forms ϕ on X, the current of integration $\sum_{j=1}^{s} a_j [D_j]$ is exact. Thus $\sum_{j=1}^{s} a_j [D_j] = 0$ in $H_{2n-2}(X,\mathbb{C})$.

Let us prove "existence" part of statement (2). Let $\widetilde{\omega}$ be a closed form from $H^0(X, \Omega^1_X(\log V))$ with residues $\mathrm{Res}_{D_j}\widetilde{\omega} = a_j \in \mathbb{R}$ for any j. The periods of this form are not necessarily pure imaginary, we are going to modify $\widetilde{\omega}$ in such a way that all periods of a modified form will be pure imaginary numbers.

Let D be a smooth closed complex connected submanifold of codimension 1 in a complex manifold M. Then there is a long exact sequence associated with the pair (M,D) [1, Chapter 3, §16]

$$\dots \to H_{p-1}(D,\mathbb{R}) \to H_p(M \setminus D,\mathbb{R}) \to H_p(M,\mathbb{R}) \to \dots.$$

Let us consider the part of the sequence

$$H_0(D,\mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{\tau} H_1(M \setminus D,\mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{i_*} H_1(M,\mathbb{R}) \to 0,$$

it is also an exact sequence. By definition, the map τ takes a class of the point $p \in D$ to a canonically oriented boundary γ of a small complex disc transversal to the hypersurface D. And i_*, by definition, is induced by inclusion $i : M \setminus D \hookrightarrow M$. Hence we have the exact sequences of pairs $(X \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{k-1} D_j, D_k \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{k-1} D_j)$, using inductive argument we obtain the exact sequence

$$\bigoplus_{j=1}^{s} H_0(D_j,\mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{\tau} H_1(X \setminus V,\mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{i_*} H_1(X,\mathbb{R}) \to 0,$$

where the map τ takes a class of the point $p \in D_j$ to a small canonically oriented circle γ_j around the hypersurface D_j, and i_* is induced by the inclusion $i : X \setminus V \hookrightarrow X$. In general there are linear relations between classes of cycles $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_s$. Observe that $\operatorname{Res}_{D_j} \omega = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\tau(p)} \omega$, where $p \in D_j$.

Using the exact sequence we can obtain a split $H_1(X \setminus V, \mathbb{R}) = \operatorname{Im}\tau \oplus L$, where L is some subspace in $H_1(X \setminus V, \mathbb{R})$. Then i_* is an isomorphism between L and $H_1(X, \mathbb{R})$. Because X is a Kähler manifolds, the dimension $\dim H_1(X, \mathbb{R}) = 2l$ is even. Let $e_1, \dots, e_{2l}$ be a basis of L, then $i_* e_1, \dots, i_* e_{2l}$ is a basis of $H_1(X, \mathbb{R})$.

Let δ be a cycle in $H_1(X, \mathbb{R})$, there is a split $\delta = \gamma + e$, where $\gamma \in \operatorname{Im}\tau$ and $e \in L$. If $\gamma \in \operatorname{Im}\tau$, then from the definition of the residue and condition $\operatorname{Res}_{D_j} \widetilde{\omega} = a_j \in \mathbb{R}$ we obtain $\int_\gamma \widetilde{\omega} \in i\mathbb{R}$. Then $\int_\delta \widetilde{\omega} \in i\mathbb{R}$ iff $\int_e \widetilde{\omega} \in i\mathbb{R}$.

Let us denote

$$\int_{e_j} \widetilde{\omega} = c_j \in \mathbb{C}, j = 1, \dots, 2l.$$

If there is a holomorphic 1-form ψ on X such that

$$\operatorname{Re} \int_{i_* e_j} \psi = \operatorname{Re}(c_j),$$

then $\widetilde{\omega} - \psi$ is a form with pure imaginary periods and with required residues, indeed, adding a global holomorphic form we do not change the residues. Because X is a compact Kähler manifold, the cohomology group $H^1(X, \mathbb{C})$ has the decomposition

$$H^1(X, \mathbb{C}) = H^{1,0}(X, \mathbb{C}) \oplus H^{0,1}(X, \mathbb{C}), \tag{2}$$

and

$$\overline{H^{1,0}(X, \mathbb{C})} = H^{0,1}(X, \mathbb{C}), \tag{3}$$

these are standard facts from the Hodge theory of Kähler manifolds. Let $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_l$ be a basis of holomorphic 1-forms of $H^{1,0}(X, \mathbb{C})$. From (2) and (3), we get that $\varphi_1 = \frac{\psi_1 + \overline{\psi}_1}{2}, \dots, \varphi_l = \frac{\psi_l + \overline{\psi}_l}{2}, \varphi_{l+1} = \frac{\psi_1 - \overline{\psi}_1}{2i}, \dots, \varphi_{2l} = \frac{\psi_l - \overline{\psi}_l}{2i}$ is a basis of $H^1(X, \mathbb{R})$. Consider the period matrix $A = (a_{jk}) = (x_{jk} + i y_{jk})$, where

$$\int_{i_* e_k} \psi_j = a_{jk} = x_{jk} + i y_{jk}, j = 1, \dots, l, k = 1, \dots, 2l; x_{jk}, y_{jk} \in \mathbb{R}.$$

For any holomorphic form $\psi \in H^{1,0}(X, \mathbb{C})$ there is a basis decomposition $\psi = \sum_{j=1}^{l} (u_j - i v_j) \psi_j, u_j, v_j \in \mathbb{R}$. We would like to find u_j, v_j such that

$$\operatorname{Re} \int_{i_* e_k} \psi = \sum_j u_j x_{jk} + \sum_j v_j y_{jk} = \operatorname{Re} \int_{i_* e_k} \widetilde{\omega},$$

one can solve this system of linear equations if the matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{Re} A \\ \operatorname{Im} A \end{pmatrix}$$

is nondegenerate. This matrix is the matrix of pairing between $i_* e_1, \dots, i_* e_{2l}$ and $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{2l}$, i.e., $M_{jk} = \int_{i_* e_k} \varphi_j$. Recall that $i_* e_1, \dots, i_* e_{2l}$ is a basis of $H_1(X, \mathbb{R})$ and $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{2l}$ is a basis of $H^1(X, \mathbb{R})$, therefore the pairing between them is nondegenerate, whence M is a nondegenerate matrix and for any real values $\operatorname{Re} \int_{e_1} \widetilde{\omega}, \dots, \operatorname{Re} \int_{e_{2l}} \widetilde{\omega}$ there is a holomorphic 1-form ψ such that $\operatorname{Re} \int_{i_* e_k} \psi = \operatorname{Re} \int_{e_k} \widetilde{\omega}$. Then the form $\omega = \widetilde{\omega} - \psi$ is a normalized holomorphic differential with a given residues.

Let us show uniqueness. Suppose that there are two normalized holomorphic differentials ω_1, ω_2 such that $\operatorname{Res}_{D_j} \omega_r = b_j$ for $r = 1, 2$ and any j. Locally these differentials has the following form

$$\omega_r = \sum_k b_{j_k} \frac{dz_k}{z_k} + \theta_r,$$

where θ_r is a holomorphic 1-form and $z_k = 0$ is a local equation of the divisor D_{j_k}, therefore the singular part of ω_r does not depend on r. Thus $\psi = \omega_1 - \omega_2$ is a holomorphic 1-form on X.

Lemma 1.1. *Let ψ be a closed holomorphic p-differential form on a compact Kähler manifold X. If $\int_\gamma \psi \in \mathbb{R}$ for any cycle $\gamma \in H_p(X, \mathbb{R})$, then $\psi \equiv 0$.*

Proof. Because $\int_\gamma \psi \in \mathbb{R}$ for any cycle $\gamma \in H_p(X,\mathbb{R})$, we have $\int_\gamma \psi - \overline{\psi} = 0$, where $\overline{\psi}$ is a complex conjugated form, which is an antiholomorphic p-form. Thus $\psi - \overline{\psi}$ is exact. Since X is a compact Kähler manifold, ψ and $\overline{\psi}$ are harmonic forms. On a compact Kähler manifold a harmonic form is exact if and only if it is identically zero, therefore $\psi - \overline{\psi} \equiv 0$. Because ψ and $\overline{\psi}$ have different bidegrees, we get $\psi \equiv 0$. □

By assumptions we have $\int_\gamma \psi \in i\mathbb{R}$ for any cycle $\gamma \in H_1(X,\mathbb{Z})$. Thus we can apply Lemma 1.1 to the form $i\psi$, we get $\psi \equiv 0$. Hence $\omega_1 = \omega_2$. □

1.4. **Asymptotic behavior of amoeba and asymptotic fan.** Recall that $V = \bigcup_{j=1}^s D_j$. Let us denote

$$v_j = (-\mathrm{Res}_{D_j}\omega_1, \ldots, -\mathrm{Res}_{D_j}\omega_m).$$

Denote by Σ_S the cone in $\mathbb{R}^m$ spanned by v_j, $j \in S \subset \{1,\ldots,s\}$, i.e.,

$$\Sigma_S = \{v = \sum_{j\in S} \lambda_j v_j \in \mathbb{R}^m : \lambda_j \geq 0\}.$$

Definition 1.6. The *asymptotic fan* Σ_ω of the amoeba $\mathscr{A}_\omega$ is the set of all cones Σ_S such that $\bigcap_{j\in S} D_j \neq \emptyset$. The *support of the asymptotic fan* is the following set

$$|\Sigma_\omega| = \bigcup_{\{S:\bigcap_{j\in S} D_j \neq \emptyset\}} \Sigma_S.$$

We should warn that in general an asymptotic fan is not a fan in the sense of toric varieties. In a general case some cones may intersect each other by their interior points, but the standard definition of a fan requires that an intersection of two cones is their common face. In the case when $m = n+1$ and $\mathscr{A}_F$ is a classical amoeba, the corresponding $|\Sigma_F|$ is the support of the n-skeleton of the normal fan of the Newton polytope N_F. (The n-skeleton of a polyhedral complex is the collection of faces of dimension at most n. See definition of the Newton polytope in the next section).

To make the next statement we need some notations. The *Hausdorff distance* between two sets $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ is equal to

$$d_H(A,B) = \max(\sup_{a\in A}\inf_{b\in B} \| a-b \|, \sup_{b\in B}\inf_{a\in A} \| a-b \|).$$

Given a positive real number c, then the *c-neighborhood* $U_c(A)$ of a set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ is the following set

$$U_c(A) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^m : \exists y \in A \text{ such that } \| x-y \| < c\}.$$

Proposition 1.3. *There is a constant $c > 0$ such that $\mathscr{A}_\omega \subset U_c(|\Sigma_\omega|)$ and $|\Sigma_\omega| \subset U_c(\mathscr{A}_\omega)$. Consider an amoeba $\mathscr{A}_{\frac{1}{t}\omega}$, where $\frac{1}{t}\omega := (\frac{1}{t}\omega_1, \ldots, \frac{1}{t}\omega_m)$, then $\lim_{t\to+\infty} d_H(\mathscr{A}_{\frac{1}{t}\omega}, |\Sigma_\omega|) = 0$.*

Proof. Let $\{U_l\}_{l=1}^N$ be a finite cover of X such that U_l is a compact unit polydisc with local coordinates $z_1^l, \ldots, z_n^l$, i.e.,

$$U_l = \{(z_1^l, \ldots, z_n^l) \in \mathbb{C}^n : ||z_k^l|| \leq 1\},$$

and V is given by the equation $z_1^l \cdot \ldots \cdot z_{r(l)}^l = 0$ in U_l, where $z_k^l = 0$ is a local equation of divisor $D_{r(l,k)}$, here $r(l,k), r(l)$ are some numbers depending on l and k. Because X is compact and V has a simple normal crossings this cover exists. In the local coordinates we have

$$\omega_j = \sum_{k=1}^{r(l)} (Res_{D_{r(l,k)}}\omega_j)\frac{dz_k^l}{z_k^l} + \varphi_{lj}(z),$$

where $\varphi_{lj}(z)$ is a closed form and $\varphi_{lj}(z)$ is holomorphic in some open neighborhood of U_l.

Fix a set of points $\{p_1, \ldots, p_N\}$, $p_l \in U_l \setminus V$, and consider the function $F_{lj}(p) = \int_{p_l}^p \varphi_{lj}(z)$, it is bounded on U_l. Recall that

$$\mathrm{Log}_\omega(p) = (\mathrm{Re}\int_{p_0}^p \omega_1, \ldots, \mathrm{Re}\int_{p_0}^p \omega_m),$$

hence for p from U_l the j-th component of this map looks like

$$(\mathrm{Log}_\omega(p))_j = \sum_{k=1}^{r(l)} (Res_{D_{r(l,k)}}\omega_j)\log|z_k^l(p)| + \mathrm{Re}F_{lj}(p) + \mathrm{Re}\int_{p_0}^{p_l} \omega_j,$$

where $z_k^l(p)$ is the local coordinate of the point p.

Consider the vectors $a_k = (-a_{k1}, \dots, -a_{km}) \in \mathbb{R}^m, k = 1, \dots, r$, and define the map $\mathrm{Log}_{a_1,\dots,a_r}$ from the compact unit polydisc

$$U = \{(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : ||z_k^l|| \leq 1\},$$

to $\mathbb{R}^m$:

$$\mathrm{Log}_{a_1,\dots,a_r}(z_1, \dots, z_n) = (\sum_{k=1}^{r} a_{k1} \log|z_k|, \dots, \sum_{k=1}^{r} a_{km} \log|z_k|).$$

Then the image of $\mathrm{Log}_{a_1,\dots,a_r}$ is equal to the cone

$$\Sigma_{a_1,\dots,a_r} = \{a = \sum_{k=1}^{r} \lambda_k a_k \in \mathbb{R}^m : \lambda_j \geq 0\}.$$

For $p \in U_l$ we have $(\mathrm{Log}_\omega(p))_j = (\mathrm{Log}_{v_{r(l,1)},\dots,v_{r(l,r(l))}}(z^l(p)))_j + \mathrm{Re}F_{lj}(p) + \mathrm{Re}\int_{p_0}^{p_l} \omega_j$. Because $\mathrm{Re}F_{lj}(p) + \mathrm{Re}\int_{p_0}^{p_l} \omega_j$ is bounded on U_l, there is a number $c_l > 0$ such that the image $\mathrm{Log}_\omega(U_l)$ of U_l under the map Log_ω is a subset of c_l-neighborhood $U_{c_l}(\Sigma_{v_{r(l,1)},\dots,v_{r(l,r(l))}})$ and $\Sigma_{v_{r(l,1)},\dots,v_{r(l,r(l))}}$ is a subset of c_l-neighborhood $U_{c_l}(\mathrm{Log}_\omega(U_l))$. Observe that $\bigcup_{l=1}^{N} \Sigma_{v_{r(l,1)},\dots,v_{r(l,r(l))}}$ equals $|\Sigma_\omega|$, therefore $\mathscr{A}_\omega \subset U_c(\Sigma_\omega)$ and $|\Sigma_\omega| \subset U_c(\mathscr{A}_\omega)$ for $c = \max\{c_1, \dots, c_N\}$. Moreover, this means that $d_H(\mathscr{A}_\omega, |\Sigma_\omega|) \leq c$.

Because

$$(\mathrm{Log}_{\frac{1}{t}\omega}(p))_j = \sum_{k=1}^{r(l)} (\frac{1}{t} Res_{D_{r(l,k)}} \omega_j) \log|z_k^l(p)| + \mathrm{Re}\frac{1}{t} F_{lj}(p) + \mathrm{Re}\frac{1}{t}\int_{p_0}^{p_l} \omega_j$$

and $\Sigma_{a_1,\dots,a_r} = \Sigma_{\frac{1}{t}a_1,\dots,\frac{1}{t}a_r}$ for any $t > 0$, we get that $\mathscr{A}_{\frac{1}{t}\omega} \subset U_{\frac{1}{t}c}(|\Sigma_\omega|)$ and $|\Sigma_\omega| \subset U_{\frac{1}{t}c}(\mathscr{A}_{\frac{1}{t}\omega})$. Thus,

$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} d_H(\mathscr{A}_{\frac{1}{t}\omega}, |\Sigma_\omega|) = 0.$$

□

Remark 1.5. This proposition describes the shape of an amoeba $\mathscr{A}_\omega$ if we look on it from the "infinity", one can consider Σ_ω as some sort of the "tropical limit" of $\mathscr{A}_{\frac{1}{t}\omega}$. Unfortunately this "tropical limit" is not good, because it reflects only asymptotic behavior of an amoeba and forgets all topological structure of compact part of an amoeba. To get a good "tropical limit" one need consider simultaneous deformation of ω and of the pair (X, V). In particular, the appropriate tropical limit of generalized amoebas of complex curves was studied in [12].

1.5. **Nondegeneracy condition.** Let J be a subset of $\{1,\dots,\mathrm{m}\}$ of cardinality n. Consider the differential form

$$\Omega_J = \omega_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{j_n},$$

where $j = \{j_1, \dots, j_n\}, j_1 < \dots < j_n$.

Definition 1.7. We say that the amoeba $\mathscr{A}_\omega$ satisfies *the nondegeneracy condition* if there exists a subset $J \subset \{1, \dots, m\}, |J| = n$ such that $\Omega_J \not\equiv 0$ on $X \setminus V$.

Proposition 1.4. *If* $\dim \Sigma_\omega := \max_{\{S : \bigcap_{j \in S} D_j \neq \emptyset\}} \dim \Sigma_S$ *is equal to n then* $\mathscr{A}_\omega$ *satisfies the nondegeneracy condition. Suppose that X is a Kähler manifold, then* $\dim \Sigma_\omega = n$ *if* $\mathscr{A}_\omega$ *satisfies the nondegeneracy condition.*

Proof. Suppose that $\dim \Sigma_\omega = n$, this means that there are irreducible divisors $D_{l_1}, \dots, D_{l_n} \subset V$ such that $D_{l_1} \cap \dots \cap D_{l_n} \neq \emptyset$ and vectors $v_j = (-\mathrm{Res}_{D_{l_j}} \omega_1, \dots, -\mathrm{Res}_{D_{l_j}} \omega_m), j = 1, \dots, n$ span an n-dimensional cone, this is equivalent to the condition that $v_1, \dots, v_n$ are linear independent vectors. Take a point $p \in D_{l_1} \cap \dots \cap D_{l_n}$, there are local coordinates $z_1, \dots, z_n$ in a neighborhood of p such that $z_k = 0$ is a local equation of D_{l_k}. Then locally ω_j looks like

$$\omega_j = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{-1}{2\pi i} v_{jk} \frac{dz_k}{z_k} + \theta_j,$$

where θ_j is a holomorphic 1-from in the neighborhood of p and v_{jk} is k-th coordinate of the vector v_j.

Let J be a subset of $\{1,\dots,m\}$ of cardinality n, $J=\{j_1,\dots,j_n\}, j_1<\dots<j_n$. Denote by V_J the corresponding minor of the matrix $V=(v_{jk})_{j,k}$:

$$V_J=\det\begin{vmatrix} v_{1j_1} & \dots & v_{1j_n}\\ \dots & \dots & \dots\\ v_{nj_1} & \dots & v_{nj_n}\end{vmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\Omega_J=(\frac{-1}{2\pi i})^n V_J\frac{dz_1}{z_1}\wedge\dots\wedge\frac{dz_n}{z_n}+\Theta_J dz_1\wedge\dots\wedge dz_n, \tag{4}$$

where Θ_J is some meromorphic function such that it does not contain term $\frac{1}{z_1\dots z_n}$ in its Laurent expansion $\Theta_J=\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^n}C_a z^\alpha$. Thus $\Omega_J\not\equiv 0$ if $V_J\not\equiv 0$. By assumption $v_1,\dots,v_n$ are linear independent, then there is a set $J\subset\{1,\dots,m\}, |J|=n$ such that $V_J\not\equiv 0$. This implies

$$\Omega_J\not\equiv 0.$$

So, we proved the first part of the statement.

Suppose that X is a Kähler manifold, let us prove the converse statement.

Let Y be a compact n-dimensional Kähler manifold and let $V=\bigcup_{j=1}^s D_j$ be a simple normal crossing divisor on Y. Any intersection of n irreducible components of V is either empty or finite number of isolated points. Let $P(Y)$ be a set of all such points, i.e., $p\in P(Y)$ iff there is a set $J\subset\{1,\dots,s\}, |J|=n$ such that $p\in\bigcap_{j\in J}D_j$. There are a neighborhood U_p of p and a local coordinates $z_1,\dots,z_n$ in U_p such that $z_1\dots z_n=0$ is a local equation of V on U_p. Denote by $\gamma_p(Y)$ the following cycle in $U_p\subset Y$

$$\gamma_p(Y)=\{|z_1|=\dots=|z_n|=\varepsilon\},$$

where $\varepsilon>0$ is small. Denote by $\Omega^n_Y(\log V)$ the n-th exterior power of the sheaf $\Omega^1_Y(\log V)$, i.e., $\Omega^n_Y(\log V)=\Omega^1_Y(\log V)\wedge\dots\wedge\Omega^1_Y(\log V)$.

Proposition 1.5. *Let $Y,V,P(Y)$, $\gamma_p(Y)$ be as above. Let Ω be an element of $H^0(Y,\Omega^n_Y(\log V))$ such that $\int_\gamma\Omega\in\mathbb{R}$ for any $\gamma\in H_n(Y\setminus V,\mathbb{R})$ and $\int_{\gamma_p(Y)}\Omega=0$ for any $p\in P(Y)$. Then $\Omega\equiv 0$.*

Proof. Since Ω is a holomorphic from of the top degree on $Y\setminus V$, we see that Ω is closed.

Let us use the induction by $\dim Y=n$. The base of induction, $n=1$. Because $\Omega\in H^0(Y,\Omega^1_Y(\log V))$, all singularities of the form Ω are first order poles. The set of poles of Ω is subset of $p(Y)$. Therefore the condition $\int_{\gamma_p(Y)}\Omega=0$ implies that Ω is a holomorphic form in a neighborhood of p, consequently, Ω is a holomorphic form on Y. Using Lemma 1.1 we get $\Omega_{\{j\}}\equiv 0$.

The step of induction. Assume that the statement is proved if $\dim Y<n$.

Suppose M is a complex manifold and W is a closed complex submanifold of M of codimension 1. Then there are two long exact sequences associated with the pair (M,W) [1, Chapter 3, §16]

$$\dots\to H_{p-1}(W,\mathbb{R})\xrightarrow{\delta}H_p(M\setminus W,\mathbb{R})\to H_p(M,\mathbb{R})\to\dots,$$

and

$$\dots\to H_p(M,\mathbb{C})\to H_p(M\setminus W,\mathbb{C})\xrightarrow{\mathrm{Res}}H_{p-1}(W,\mathbb{C})\to\dots.$$

We don't want to explain these sequences in details. We will need the following fact

$$\int_\gamma\mathrm{Res}\,\omega=\int_{\delta\gamma}\omega,$$

where $\omega\in H_p(M\setminus W,\mathbb{C})$ and $\gamma\in H_{p-1}(W,\mathbb{R})$. We will give explicit description of the operator Res below.

Let us apply these sequences to the pairs $(Y\setminus\bigcup_{k\neq j}D_k, D_j\setminus\bigcup_{k\neq j}D_k)$. Then we get

$$\dots\to H_{p-1}(D_j\setminus\bigcup_{k\neq j}D_k,\mathbb{R})\xrightarrow{\delta_j}H_p(Y\setminus\bigcup_k D_k,\mathbb{R})\to H_p(Y\setminus\bigcup_{k\neq j}D_k,\mathbb{R})\to\dots,$$

and

$$\dots\to H_p(Y\setminus\bigcup_{k\neq j}D_k,\mathbb{C})\to H_p(Y\setminus\bigcup_k D_k,\mathbb{C})\xrightarrow{\mathrm{Res}_j}H_{p-1}(D_j\setminus\bigcup_{k\neq j}D_k,\mathbb{C})\to\dots.$$

We denote by δ_j and Res_j the operators δ and Res in the sequences for the pair $(Y\setminus\bigcup_{k\neq j}D_k, D_j\setminus\bigcup_{k\neq j}D_k)$..

Suppose that U is a neighborhood of a point in Y such that $z_1\dots z_l=0$ is a local equation of V and $z_1=0$ is a local equation of D_j. Then Ω has the following coordinate representations

$$\Omega=\sum_{d_1,\dots,d_n\geq -1} C_{d_1,\dots,d_n} z_1^{d_1}\dots z_n^{d_n} dz_1\wedge\dots\wedge dz_n,$$

where $C_{d_1,\dots,d_n}\in\mathbb{C}$. The operators Res_j locally defined as follows

$$\mathrm{Res}_j\Omega=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\sum_{d_2,\dots,d_n\geq -1} C_{-1,d_2,\dots,d_n} z_2^{d_2}\dots z_n^{d_n} dz_2\wedge\dots\wedge dz_n$$

Consider the pair D_j and $V_j=D_j\cap\bigcup_{k\neq j}D_k$. The manifold D_j is a submanifold of Kähler manifold, therefore it is also Kähler. The set $P(D_j)$ is equal to $P(Y)\cap D_j$. One can easily get from the definition of δ that $\gamma_p(Y)$ is homologous to $\delta_j\gamma_p(D_j)$. Hence

$$\int_{\gamma_p(Y)}\Omega=\int_{\delta_j\gamma_p(D_j)}\Omega=\int_{\gamma_p(D_j)}\mathrm{Res}_j\Omega=0$$

for any $p\in P(Y)$. And for any cycle $\gamma\in H_{n-1}(D_j\setminus\bigcup_{k\neq j}D_k,\mathbb{R})$, we have $\int_\gamma \mathrm{Res}_j\Omega=\int_{\delta_j\gamma}\Omega$. Whence the form $\mathrm{Res}_j\Omega$ on $D_j\setminus V_j$ satisfies to the conditions of the proposition. Thus by inductive hypothesis $\mathrm{Res}_j\Omega\equiv 0$. Because it is true for any D_j, we obtain that the coefficient $C_{d_1,\dots,d_n}$ in is equal to zero if at least one of d_j's is negative. This means that Ω is holomorphic form on Y. Using Lemma 1.1 we get $\Omega\equiv 0$. □

Suppose that $\dim\Sigma_\omega<n$. Given a point $p\in P(X)$, we can consider a local representation (4) for Ω_J in a neighborhood of p. Since $\dim\Sigma_\omega<n$, we get $V_J=0$ and, consequently, $\int_{\gamma_p(X)}\Omega_J=0$. Since ω_j is an element of $H^1(X\setminus V,i\mathbb{R})\subset H^1(X\setminus V,\mathbb{C})$, i.e., $\int_\gamma\omega_j\in i\mathbb{R}$ for any $\gamma\in H^1(X\setminus V,\mathbb{R})$, we get $\Omega_J\in H^n(X\setminus V,(i)^n\mathbb{R})$. Thus we can apply Proposition 1.5 to the from $(i)^n\Omega_J$, whence $\Omega_J\equiv 0$. This holds for any J. Therefore the amoeba $\mathscr{A}_\omega$ does not satisfy nondegeneracy condition.

□

Remark 1.6. In this article we will use the nondegeneracy condition only in the case when $m=n+1$, but we believe that it could be useful in general situation. In particular, we believe that the nondegeneracy condition should mean that $\mathscr{A}_\omega$ has behavior similar to a classical amoeba of an algebraic subset of dimension n in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^m$. In the next section we will show that if $m=n+1$, then the nondegeneracy condition is equivalent to the condition $\dim\Sigma_\omega=n$ (the Proposition 2.6).

2. Amoebas for m = n+1, the Ronkin function, the order map and the Newton polytope.

In this section we will study the special case $m=n+1$, in the classical situation it corresponds to amoebas of hypersurfaces in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^m$, which is the most studied topic in this area.

2.1. **Classical amoebas of complex hypersurfaces.** First we recall the construction of the Ronkin function and the order map in the classical situation and basic facts related to them. Let $F(z_1,\dots,z_m)$ be a Laurent polynomial

$$F(z_1,\dots,z_m)=\sum_{\alpha\in A}C_\alpha z^\alpha,$$

where α is multi-index and A is a finite subset of $\mathbb{Z}^m$, we assume that $C_\alpha\neq 0$ iff $\alpha\in A$. The convex hull of A is called the Newton polytope of $F(z)$, denote it by N_F.

Denote by $\mathscr{A}_F$ the amoeba of $X=\{F(z_1,\dots,z_m)=0\}\subset(\mathbb{C}^*)^m$.

Proposition 2.1 ([5], Corollary 1.6)**.** *Connected components of $\mathbb{R}^m\setminus\mathscr{A}_F$ are open convex subsets.*

By definition, the Ronkin function of $F(z)$ is given by the formula

$$R_F(x)=\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^m}\int_{\mathrm{Log}^{-1}(x)}\mathrm{Log}|F(z)|\frac{dz_1}{z_1}\wedge\dots\wedge\frac{dz_m}{z_m}. \tag{5}$$

Note that this function is not necessarily smooth.

Theorem 2.1 ([14]). *The Ronkin function $R_F(x)$ is a convex function. If C is a connected open set, then the restriction of $R_F(x)$ to C is affine linear if and only if C does not intersect the amoeba $\mathscr{A}_F$.*

Proposition 2.2 ([14]). *The restriction of $\nabla R_F(x)$ to a connected component C of $\mathbb{R}^m \setminus \mathscr{A}_F$ is an integer valued constant function. It is equal to*

$$(\nabla R_F)_j(x) = \int_{\mathrm{Log}^{-1}(x)} \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^m} \frac{z_j \frac{\partial F(z)}{\partial z_j}}{F(z)} \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{dz_m}{z_m},$$

where $x \in C$ and $(\nabla R_F)_j$ is the j's coordinate of ∇R_F.

Denote the set of connected components of $\mathbb{R}^m \setminus \mathscr{A}_F$ by Υ. Thus $\nabla R_F(x)$ defines the map

$$\nu_F : \Upsilon \to \mathbb{Z}^m,$$

if $C \in \Upsilon$ and $x \in C$, then it equals $\nu_F(C) = \nabla R_F(x)$. This map is called the *order map*.

Definition 2.1. The *recession cone* of a convex set $S \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ equals

$$\mathrm{recc}(S) = \{v \in \mathbb{R}^m : \forall x \in S : x + v \in S\}.$$

Definition 2.2. The *normal cone* to a convex set $S \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ at a point $x \in S$ is the cone of outer-pointing normal to the S at the point x, i.e.,

$$\mathrm{norm}_S(x) = \{v \in \mathbb{R}^m : \forall y \in S : \langle y - x, v\rangle \leq 0\}.$$

Theorem 2.2 ([6], Theorem 2.8). *The order map*

$$\nu_F : \Upsilon \to \mathbb{Z}^m$$

is injective, its image is contained in the Newton polytope N_F. All vertices of the Newton polytope belongs to the image of the order map. Therefore the number of connected components of $\mathbb{R}^m \setminus \mathscr{A}_F$ is at least equal to the number of vertices of the Newton polytope N_F and at most equal to the total number of integer points in $N_F \cap \mathbb{Z}^m$.

Proposition 2.3 ([6]). *Let C be a connected component of $\mathbb{R}^m \setminus \mathscr{A}_F$. Then the recession cone of C is equal to the normal cone to the Newton polytope N_F at the point $\nu_F(C)$.*

The main aim of this section is to prove analogs of the statements that are written above in the case of generalized amoebas. Because there is no explicit equation of X we need to find new definitions for the Ronkin function and the Newton polytope.

2.2. **The Ronkin functions of generalized amoebas.** First we introduce some notations. Consider the function on $X \setminus V$

$$x_j(p) = \mathrm{Re} \int_{p_0}^{p} \omega_j,$$

we have

$$\mathrm{Log}_{\omega, p_0}(p) = (x_1(p), \ldots, x_m(p)).$$

We put

$$\Omega_j = \omega_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{j-1} \wedge \omega_{j+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_m.$$

Denote by $\mathscr{E}^d_c(\mathbb{R}^m)$ the space of differential forms of degree d with compact support on $\mathbb{R}^m$.

Definition 2.3. The Ronkin function of the amoeba $\mathscr{A}_\omega$ is the function R_ω on $\mathbb{R}^m$ such that for any $\psi \in \mathscr{E}^{m-1}_c(\mathbb{R}^m)$ the current $d(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} R_\omega(x))[\psi]$, which can be formally written as $\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} d(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} R_\omega(x)) \wedge \psi$, is equal to

$$d(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} R_\omega)[\psi] = -(-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} (-1)^j \mathrm{Re} \int_{X \setminus V} \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} \Omega_j \wedge \mathrm{Log}^*_\omega \psi. \tag{6}$$

The last formula is equivalent to

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_k \partial x_j} R_\omega[\phi(x)dx] = \frac{(-1)^{j+k+\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}}{2^n} \mathrm{Re} \int_{X \setminus V} \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} \mathrm{Log}^*_\omega(\phi) \Omega_j \wedge \overline{\Omega}_k, \tag{7}$$

where $\phi(x) \in \mathscr{E}^0_c(\mathbb{R}^m)$ and $dx = dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_m$. We will show this equivalence in the proof of Theorem 2.3.

If $\mathscr{A}_\omega$ does not satisfy the nondegeneracy condition, i.e., $\Omega_j \equiv 0$ for any j, then $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_k \partial x_j} R_\omega \equiv 0$ and R_ω is an affine function. In this case R_ω does not reflect any geometry of the amoeba, thus this case is not interesting for us. From this moment and till the end of this section **we assume that the amoeba $\mathscr{A}_\omega$ satisfies the nondegeneracy condition.** The Ronkin function will be our main tool to study geometry of amoebas when $m = n+1$.

Theorem 2.3. *The following statements hold:*

(1) *The Ronkin function R_ω exists and is unique up to addition of an affine function, it is a continuous convex function on $\mathbb{R}^m$.*
(2) *Suppose that the amoeba $\mathscr{A}_\omega$ satisfies the nondegeneracy condition, i.e., there exits $j \in \{1,\dots,m\}$ such that $\Omega_j \not\equiv 0$. Then for any connected open set $C \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ the restriction of $R_\omega(x)$ to C is affine if and only if C does not intersect the amoeba $\mathscr{A}_\omega$.*

Proof. Denote $(-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}$ by σ_n. Let us use the following notations

$$S_j[\psi] = -\sigma_n (-1)^j \operatorname{Re} \int_{X\setminus V} \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} \Omega_j \wedge \operatorname{Log}^*_\omega \psi,$$

$$\psi = \sum_{k=1}^m \psi_k dx[k],$$

where $dx[k] = dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{k-1} \wedge dx_{k+1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_m$. We can rewrite this current as follows

$$S_j = \sum_{k=1}^m S_{jk} dx_k,$$

where S_{jk} is a 0-current. Then we have

$$S_j[\psi] = \sum_{k=1}^m S_{jk}[(-1)^{k+1} \psi_k dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_m],$$

and S_{jk} is equal to

$$S_{jk}[(-1)^{k+1} \psi_k dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_m] = -\sigma_n (-1)^j \operatorname{Re} \int_{X\setminus V} \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} \Omega_j \wedge \operatorname{Log}^*_\omega (\psi_k dx[k]).$$

There is an abuse of notation, because we consider x_k as a coordinate function on $\mathbb{R}^m$ and as a function on $X \setminus V$, $x_j(p) = \operatorname{Re} \int_{p_0}^p \omega_j$, and we have $x_k = \operatorname{Log}^*_\omega(x_k)$. The differential form dx_k on $X \setminus V$ is equal to

$$dx_k = \frac{1}{2}(\omega_k + \overline{\omega}_k).$$

Because Ω_j is a meromorphic form of top degree, we have $\Omega_j \wedge \omega_k = 0$ for any k, therefore $\Omega_j \wedge dx[k] = (\frac{1}{2})^n \Omega_j \wedge \overline{\Omega}_k$. Thus,

$$\Omega_j \wedge \operatorname{Log}^*_\omega (dx[k]) = \frac{1}{2^n} \Omega_j \wedge \overline{\Omega}_k,$$

this implies

$$S_{jk}[\phi(x) dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_m] = (-1)^{j+k} \sigma_n \frac{1}{2^n} \operatorname{Re} \int_{X\setminus V} \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} \operatorname{Log}^*_\omega(\phi) \Omega_j \wedge \overline{\Omega}_k. \tag{8}$$

Because $\phi(x)$ is a real function, we can rewrite the real part of the last expression as follows

$$S_{jk}[\phi(x) dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_m] = (-1)^{j+k} \sigma_n \frac{1}{2^n} \int_{X\setminus V} \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} \operatorname{Log}^*_\omega(\phi) \frac{1}{2} (\Omega_j \wedge \overline{\Omega}_k + (-1)^n \overline{\Omega_j} \wedge \Omega_k).$$

Since n^2 and n have the same parity, we get $\Omega_j \wedge \overline{\Omega}_k = (-1)^{n^2} \overline{\Omega}_k \wedge \Omega_j = (-1)^n \overline{\Omega}_k \wedge \Omega_j$. Finally, it gives us

$$S_{jk} = S_{kj}. \tag{9}$$

The differential form Ω_j is a product of a closed forms, hence it is closed. Since the support of $\mathrm{Log}^*_\omega(\varphi)$ does not intersect poles of Ω_j and Ω_j is closed, S_j is a closed current, indeed,

$$dS_j[\psi] = -S_j[d\psi] = \sigma_n(-1)^j \mathrm{Re}\int_{X\setminus V}\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n}\Omega_j\wedge \mathrm{Log}^*_\omega d\psi = $$
$$= \sigma_n(-1)^{j+n+1}\mathrm{Re}\int_{X\setminus V}\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n}d\Omega_j\wedge \mathrm{Log}^*_\omega\psi = \sigma_n(-1)^{j+n+1}\mathrm{Re}\int_{X\setminus V}\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n}0\wedge \mathrm{Log}^*_\omega\psi = 0.$$

Because any closed current on $\mathbb{R}^m$ is exact, there is a current T_j such that $dT_j = S_j$. Consider the current $T = \sum_{j=1}^m T_j dx_j$. Let us check that $dT = 0$. We have

$$dT = \sum_{j<k}(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}T_k - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}T_j)dx_j\wedge dx_k = \sum_{j<k}(S_{kj}-S_{jk})dx_j\wedge dx_k,$$

combining this with (9) we obtain $dT = 0$. Again, this gives us that there is a current R such that $dR = T$. We need to show that this current is given by some continuous function R_ω and this function has required properties.

Let $Z\subset \mathrm{R}^m$ be a set of critical values of Log_ω. By Proposition 1.1, the map Log_ω is closed. It is easy to check that the set of critical points of Log_ω is closed, thus Z is closed. By Sard's theorem the set of critical values Z has measure zero. Thus $\mathbb{R}^m\setminus Z$ is an open dense set in $\mathbb{R}^m$.

By Proposition 1.1, the map Log_ω is proper. The restriction of map $\mathrm{Log}_\omega|_{\mathrm{Log}^{-1}_\omega(\mathbb{R}^m\setminus Z)}\to \mathscr{A}_\omega\setminus Z$ is a surjective submersion. Therefore, by Ehresmann's lemma, $\mathrm{Log}^{-1}_\omega(\mathbb{R}^m\setminus Z)\to\mathscr{A}_\omega\setminus Z$ is a locally trivial fibration on each connected component of $\mathscr{A}_\omega\setminus Z$. We may think that there is a locally trivial fibration on each connected component of $\mathbb{R}^m\setminus Z$, for the connected components that are contained in $\mathbb{R}^m\setminus\mathscr{A}_\omega$ the fiber is the empty set.

Since Log_ω is proper, $\mathrm{Log}^{-1}_\omega(x)$ is compact for any $x\in\mathbb{R}^m$. Moreover, for $x\in\mathscr{A}_\omega\setminus Z$, $\mathrm{Log}^{-1}_\omega(x)$ is a smooth compact $(n-1)$-dimensional real manifold. There is a natural orientation O_X on the complex manifold $X\setminus V$. Suppose that the orientation on $\mathbb{R}^m$ is given by the from $dx = dx_1\wedge\cdots\wedge dx_m$. For $x\in\mathscr{A}_\omega\setminus Z$ we define an orientation of $\mathrm{Log}^{-1}_\omega(x)$ by a differential form Θ_x such that $\Theta_x\wedge\mathrm{Log}^*_\omega dx$ and $\sigma_n O_X$ are in the same orientation class.

Because, for $x\in\mathscr{A}_\omega\setminus Z$, $\mathrm{Log}^{-1}_\omega(x)$ is a smooth compact oriented real manifold, there is a well-defined pushforward operation $(\mathrm{Log}_\omega)_*$. Consider the $1-$from

$$\Phi_j = -\sigma_n(-1)^j\mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{Log}_\omega)_*\int_{X\setminus V}\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n}\Omega_j$$

on $\mathbb{R}^m\setminus Z$ (if $\mathrm{Log}^{-1}_\omega(x)=\emptyset$, then $\Phi_j(x)=0$). In the local coordinate it looks like that $\Phi_j = \sum_{k=1}^m\Phi_{jk}(x)dx_k$. If ψ is a n-differential from with compact support on $\mathbb{R}^m\setminus Z$, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^m}\Phi_j\wedge\psi = S_j[\psi].$$

Using the same arguments as above one can show that for any point $x\in\mathrm{R}^m\setminus Z$ there is a neighborhood $U_x\subset\mathrm{R}^m\setminus Z$ and a smooth function R_x such that

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j\partial x_k}R_x = \Phi_{jk}$$

on U_x. Consider $R-R_x$ as a current on U_x, then it is given by some affine function a_x. If $U_x\cap U_y\neq\emptyset$, then $R_x+a_x\equiv R_y+a_y$ on $U_x\cap U_y$. Thus we can glue functions R_x+a_x to a global smooth function $\widetilde{R}$ on $\mathrm{R}^m\setminus Z$. We have $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j\partial x_k}\widetilde{R} = \Phi_{jk}$ and the restriction of the current R to $\mathrm{R}^m\setminus Z$ is given by the function $\widetilde{R}$.

Let $\rho_\varepsilon(x) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^m}f(\frac{||x||}{\varepsilon})$ be a $C^\infty-$function on $\mathbb{R}^m$ such that $\rho_\varepsilon(x)\geq 0$ for any $x\in\mathbb{R}^m$, $\rho_\varepsilon(0)>0$, $\rho_\varepsilon(x)=0$ for $||x||>\varepsilon$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^m}\rho_\varepsilon(x)dx_1\wedge\cdots\wedge dx_m = 1$. Consider the regularization $S^\varepsilon_{jk}(y) = S_{jk}[\rho_\varepsilon(x-y)]$ of the current S_{jk}, the function $S^\varepsilon_{jk}(y)$ is a $C^\infty-$function on $\mathbb{R}^m$. Because $S_{jk}=S_{kj}$, we get $S^\varepsilon_{jk}=S^\varepsilon_{kj}$. Also $S^\varepsilon_j = \sum_{k=1}^m S^\varepsilon_{jk}dx_k$ is a closed form. Once again there is a smooth function R^ε such that

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j\partial x_k}R^\varepsilon = S^\varepsilon_{jk},$$

and R^ε weakly converges to R as ε tends to 0.

Let us check that R^ε is a convex function. We need to check that its Hessian is a positive semi-definite matrix, i.e.,

$$\sum_{j,k=1}^{m} S^\varepsilon_{jk} v_j v_k(x) \geq 0$$

for any $v=(v_1,\dots,v_m)\in\mathbb{R}^m$ and any $x\in\mathbb{R}^m$.

We put

$$\Omega_v = \sum_{j=1}^{m} (-1)^j v_j \Omega_j,$$

then

$$\sum_{j,k=1}^{m} S^\varepsilon_{jk} v_j v_k(y) = \sigma_n \frac{1}{2^n} \mathrm{Re} \int_{X\setminus V} \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} \Omega_v \wedge \overline{\Omega}_v \rho_\varepsilon(\mathrm{Log}_\omega(p)-y).$$

Because Ω_v is a holomorphic form of the top degree, $\sigma_n \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n}\Omega_v\wedge\overline{\Omega}_v$ is a positive form on $X\setminus V$. Since $\rho_\varepsilon(x-y)\geq 0$, the form $\sigma_n \mathrm{Re}\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n}\Omega_v\wedge\overline{\Omega}_v\rho_\varepsilon(\mathrm{Log}_\omega(p)-y)$ is positive and

$$\sum_{j,k=1}^{m} S^\varepsilon_{jk} v_j v_k(x) \geq 0.$$

Thus R^ε is convex.

Observe that the function R^ε converges pointwise to $\widetilde{R}$ on $\mathbb{R}^m\setminus Z$ as ε tends to 0. A convex function f on a set B is called finite if $f(B)\subseteq\mathbb{R}$, i.e., f does not attain $\pm\infty$ on B.

Theorem 2.4 ([16], Theorem 10.8)**.** *Let B be a relatively open convex set in $\mathbb{R}^m$, and let $f_1, f_2, \dots$ be a sequence of finite convex functions on B. Suppose that the sequence converges pointwise on a dense subset of B. Then the limit exists for every $x\in B$, and the function f, where $f(x)=\lim_{j\to\infty} f_j(x)$ is finite and convex on B. Moreover the sequence $f_1, f_2, \dots$ converges to f uniformly on each closed bounded subset of B.*

Using this theorem we get that R^ε converges to some finite convex function R_ω on $\mathbb{R}^m$. Hence the current R is given by the function R_ω. By Theorem 10.1 from [16], any finite convex function on $\mathbb{R}^m$ is continuous, thus R_ω is continuous function. We proved the first part of the theorem.

Let us prove the second part of the theorem. Let C be a connected open set in $\mathbb{R}^m$. We assume that the amoeba $\mathscr{A}_\omega$ is nondegenerate. We want to show that $R_\omega|_C$ if and only if $C\cap\mathscr{A}_\omega=\emptyset$. Suppose that $C\cap\mathscr{A}_\omega=\emptyset$, then $(\mathrm{Log}_\omega)^{-1}(C)=\emptyset$. Whence, by definition, $S_{jk}=\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j\partial x_k}R_\omega|_C\equiv 0$ and

$$R_\omega|_C = A_0 + x_1A_1 + \dots + x_mA_m$$

for some constants $A_j\in\mathbb{R}$.

The nondegeneracy condition means that there is Ω_j such that $\Omega_j\not\equiv 0$ on X. Suppose that $C\cap\mathscr{A}_\omega\neq\emptyset$. Take a point p in $C\cap\mathscr{A}_\omega$ and take any open neighborhood $U\subset C$ of p. Take a function $\phi(x)\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m)$ such that $\phi(x)\geq 0$ for any $x\in\mathbb{R}^m$, $\phi(p)>0$ and $\mathrm{supp}\,\phi\subset U$. From (8) we obtain

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j\partial x_j} R_\omega|_C[\phi\, dx_1\wedge\dots\wedge dx_m] = \sigma_n \frac{1}{2^n}\mathrm{Re}\int_{X\setminus V}\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n}\mathrm{Log}^*_\omega(\phi)\Omega_j\wedge\overline{\Omega}_j.$$

The form $\sigma_n\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n}\Omega_j\wedge\overline{\Omega}_j$ is nonnegative form of top degree on $X\setminus V$. Because $\Omega_j\not\equiv 0$ and Ω_j is a holomorphic form on $X\setminus V$, the zero set of $\sigma_n\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n}\Omega_j\wedge\overline{\Omega}_j$ has codimension ≥ 1. Since $\mathrm{Log}^*_\omega(\phi)\geq 0$ and $\dim\mathrm{supp}\,\mathrm{Log}^*_\omega(\phi)=\dim X$, we get

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j\partial x_j} R_\omega|_C[\phi\, dx_1\wedge\dots\wedge dx_m] > 0.$$

In the other hand, suppose that $R_\omega|_C$ is an affine function, then $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j\partial x_j}R_\omega|_C\equiv 0$, and

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j\partial x_j} R_\omega|_C[\phi\, dx_1\wedge\dots\wedge dx_m] = 0.$$

Hence R_ω is not affine in a neighborhood of p.

□

Remark 2.1. This construction of R_ω defines the Ronkin function uniquely up to addition of an affine function. In fact, in the classical case the Ronkin is also defined up to addition of an affine function, indeed, polynomials $F(z)=0$ and $z^\alpha F(z)=0$ have identical amoebas, but their Ronkin functions are different, $R_{z^\alpha F}(x)-R_F(x)=\langle\alpha,x\rangle$.

Remark 2.2. It can be interesting to find explicit formulas for R_ω and ∇R_ω, these formulas are well known in the classical case.

Remark 2.3. There is interesting interpretation of the last theorem in terms of supercurrents and superforms on a tropical variety. In particular one can deduce the theorem from the tropical analog of $\partial\overline{\partial}-$lemma. We will explain this in the next section.

Let us show that our definition of the Ronkin function coincides with the standard definition in the classical amoeba case.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $F(z)$ be a Laurent polynomial in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^m$ such that $X\setminus V$ is isomorphic to $X_F=\{z\in(\mathbb{C}^*)^m : F(z)=0\}$, and $F(z)$ has a zero of degree 1 along X_F. Suppose that $\omega_j=\frac{dz_j}{z_j}|_{X_F}$, then R_F coincides with R_ω up to an affine function. In other words, the definition of the Ronkin function of a generalized amoeba is consistent with the classical definition.*

Proof. To prove the statement it is enough to check that $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j\partial x_k}R_\omega=\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j\partial x_k}R_F$, which is equivalent to $d\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}R_\omega=d\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}R_F$. Let $\psi\in\mathscr{E}^n_c(\mathbb{R}^m)$ be a $C^\infty-$differential form of degree n with compact support on $\mathbb{R}^m$. To prove the statement we need to check that

$$d\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}R_\omega[\psi]=d\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}R_F[\psi]$$

for any $\psi\in\mathscr{E}^n_c(\mathbb{R}^m)$. We are going to do it by means of gradual transformation of the right hand side to the left hand side. Integration by parts gives us

$$d\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}R_F[\psi]=\int_{\mathbb{R}^m}d\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}R_F\wedge\psi=\int_{\mathbb{R}^m}R_F d\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\psi=R_F[d\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\psi].$$

By definition, R_F (5) is equal to

$$R_F[d\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\psi]=\int_{\mathbb{R}^m}\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^m}(\int_{\mathrm{Log}^{-1}(x)}\log|F(z)|\frac{dz_1}{z_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge\frac{dz_m}{z_m})\,d\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\psi,$$

this iterated integral can be transformed to the integral over the space $(S^1)^m\times\mathbb{R}^m$, this space parameterized by coordinates $(\theta_1,\dots,\theta_m,x_1,\dots,x_m)$, $\theta_j\in\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}=S^1, x_j\in\mathbb{R}$,

$$\theta_j=\arg z_j, x_j=\log|z_j|.$$

The orientation of $(S^1)^m\times\mathbb{R}^m$ is defined by the positivity of the differential form $\theta_1\wedge\cdots\wedge\theta_m\wedge x_1\wedge\cdots\wedge x_m$. There is a natural identification between $(S^1)^m\times\mathbb{R}^m$ and $(\mathbb{C}^*)^m$, but the orientations of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^m$ and $(S^1)^m\times\mathbb{R}^m$ are different. Indeed, on $(\mathbb{C}^*)^m$ we have a natural complex orientation, which is defined by the form $(\frac{i}{2})^m dz_1\wedge d\overline{z}_1\wedge\cdots\wedge dz_m\wedge d\overline{z}_m$, then

$$(\frac{i}{2})^m dz_1\wedge d\overline{z}_1\wedge\cdots\wedge dz_m\wedge d\overline{z}_m=e^{2x_1+\cdots+2x_m}dx_1\wedge d\theta_1\wedge\cdots\wedge dx_m\wedge d\theta_m=$$
$$e^{2x_1+\cdots+2x_m}(-1)^{\frac{m(m+1)}{2}}\theta_1\wedge\cdots\wedge\theta_m\wedge x_1\wedge\cdots\wedge x_m.$$

Denote $(-1)^{\frac{m(m+1)}{2}}$ by σ_m. Therefore

$$R_F[d\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\psi]=\sigma_m\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^m}\int_{(\mathbb{C}^*)^m}\log|F(z)|\frac{dz_1}{z_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge\frac{dz_m}{z_m}\wedge\mathrm{Log}^*(d\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\psi)=\dots,$$

observe that $d\log|F| = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{\overline{F}}\overline{\partial}\overline{F} + \frac{1}{F}\partial F)$ and $\mathrm{Log}^*(d\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\psi) = d\,\mathrm{Log}^*(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\psi)$. Using this observation and integration by parts we get

$$\cdots = \sigma_m(-1)^{m+1}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^m}\int_{(\mathbb{C}^*)^m}\frac{\overline{\partial}\overline{F}}{\overline{F}}\frac{dz_1}{z_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge\frac{dz_m}{z_m}\wedge\mathrm{Log}^*(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\psi) = \ldots,$$

consider the differential form $\psi = \sum_{k=1}^m \psi_k(x)dx[k]$, where $dx[k] = dx_1\wedge\cdots\wedge dx_{k-1}\wedge dx_{k+1}\wedge\cdots\wedge dx_m$, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\psi = \sum_{k=1}^m(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\psi_k(x))dx[k],$$

and

$$d\mathrm{Log}^*(\psi) = \sum_{k=1}^m\frac{1}{2}(\frac{dz_k}{z_k}+\frac{d\overline{z}_k}{\overline{z}_k})\wedge\mathrm{Log}^*(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}\psi),$$

this give us

$$(-1)^{m-j}\frac{1}{2}\frac{\overline{\partial}\overline{F}}{\overline{F}}\wedge\frac{dz_1}{z_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge\frac{dz_m}{z_m}\wedge\mathrm{Log}^*(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\psi) = \frac{\overline{\partial}\overline{F}}{\overline{F}}\wedge\frac{dz}{z}[j]\wedge d\mathrm{Log}^*(\psi),$$

where $\frac{dz}{z}[j] = \frac{dz_1}{z_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge\frac{dz_{j-1}}{z_{j-1}}\wedge\frac{dz_{j+1}}{z_{j+1}}\wedge\cdots\wedge\frac{dz_m}{z_m}$. Using the last equality and integration by parts we continue the computations of $R_F[d\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\psi]$ in the following way

$$\cdots = \sigma_m(-1)^{m-j}\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^m}\int_{(\mathbb{C}^*)^m}\partial(\frac{\overline{\partial}\overline{F}}{\overline{F}})\wedge\frac{dz}{z}[j]\wedge\mathrm{Log}^*(\psi) = \ldots,$$

observe that $\sigma_m(-1)^m = (-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}$. Because $F(z)$ has a first order zero along X_F, we obtain $\frac{1}{2\pi i}\partial(\frac{\overline{\partial}\overline{F}}{\overline{F}}) = -[X_F]$, where $[X_F]$ is an integration current along X_F. Finally we get

$$\cdots = -(-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}(-1)^j\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n}\int_{(\mathbb{C}^*)^m}[X_F]\wedge\frac{dz}{z}[j]\wedge\mathrm{Log}^*(\psi) = \ldots$$

$$\cdots = -(-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}(-1)^j\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n}\int_{X_F}\frac{dz}{z}[j]|_{X_F}\wedge\mathrm{Log}^*(\psi)|_{X_F} = \ldots$$

$$\cdots = -(-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}(-1)^j\mathrm{Re}\int_{X\setminus V}\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n}\Omega_j\wedge\mathrm{Log}^*_\omega\psi,$$

the last expression, by definition, is equal to $d\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}R_\omega[\psi]$, this proves the statement. □

Proposition 2.5. *Suppose that the amoeba $\mathscr{A}_\omega$ satisfies the nondegeneracy condition, then connected components of $\mathbb{R}^m\setminus\mathscr{A}_\omega$ are open convex subsets of $\mathbb{R}^m$.*

Proof. By Proposition 1.1 $\mathscr{A}_\omega$ is closed, whence $\mathbb{R}^m\setminus\mathscr{A}_F$ is an open set. Let C be a connected component of $\mathbb{R}^m\setminus\mathscr{A}_\omega$. By Theorem 2.3 the restriction of the Ronkin function R_ω to C is an affine function. Take a point $x_0\in C$, then

$$\phi(x) = R_\omega(x) - \langle\nabla R_\omega(x_0), x-x_0\rangle - R_\omega(x_0)$$

is a convex function, $\phi(x)\geq 0$ for any $x\in\mathbb{R}^m$, and $\phi(x) = 0$ for any $x\in C$.

Let $\hat{C}$ be a convex hull of C. Since C is open, $\hat{C}$ is also open. Using the facts that $\phi(x)$ is a convex function, $\phi(x)\geq 0$ and $\phi(x)\equiv 0$ on C, we get that $\phi(x)\equiv 0$ on $\hat{C}$, thus R_ω is affine function on $\hat{C}$. By Theorem 2.3 the restriction of $R_\omega(x)$ to $\hat{C}$ is affine linear if and only if $\hat{C}$ does not intersect the amoeba $\mathscr{A}_\omega$, this means that $\hat{C} = C$, i.e., C is convex. □

Proposition 2.6. *Let m be equal to $n+1$, then the amoeba $\mathscr{A}_\omega$ satisfies the nondegeneracy condition if and only if $\dim\Sigma_\omega$ is equal to n.*

Proof. The "only if" part of the proposition is a part of Proposition 1.4. If X is Kähler manifold then the "if" part is also a part of Proposition 1.4. So we need to show the "if" part without the Kähler assumption.

Suppose that $\dim\Sigma_\omega < n$ and $\mathscr{A}_\omega$ satisfies the nondegeneracy condition. By Proposition 1.3, there is a constant $c>0$ such that $\mathscr{A}_\omega$ is a subset of c-neighborhood $U_c(|\Sigma_\omega|)$ of $|\Sigma_\omega|$. Because $\dim\Sigma_\omega < n$, the set $\mathbb{R}^m\setminus U_c(\Sigma_\omega)\subset\mathbb{R}^m\setminus\mathscr{A}_\omega$ is connected. It is easy to check that the convex hull of $\mathbb{R}^m\setminus U_c(\Sigma_\omega)$ is equal to $\mathbb{R}^m$. Since the amoeba satisfies the

nondegeneracy condition, from Proposition 2.5 it follows that connected components of $\mathbb{R}^m \setminus \mathscr{A}_\omega$ are convex. Therefor $\mathbb{R}^m \setminus \mathscr{A}_\omega = \mathbb{R}^m$, and $\mathscr{A}_\omega = \emptyset$. We have by construction $\mathscr{A}_\omega \neq \emptyset$. Contradiction. □

2.3. **Order map, Newton polytope and recession cones of complement to amoeba.** Because R_ω is an affine function on each connected component of $\mathbb{R}^m \setminus \mathscr{A}_\omega$, its gradient is constant on each connected component of $\mathbb{R}^m \setminus \mathscr{A}_\omega$. Denote the set of connected components of $\mathbb{R}^m \setminus \mathscr{A}_\omega$ by Υ.

Definition 2.4. Let us define the map

$$\nu_\omega : \Upsilon \to \mathbb{R}^m$$

as follows. If C is a connected component of $\mathbb{R}^m \setminus \mathscr{A}_\omega$ and x_C is any point in C, then we define $\nu_\omega(C)$ to be equal to $\nabla R_\omega(x_C)$. This map is called the *order map* of the amoeba $\mathscr{A}_\omega$.

Definition 2.5. The *Newton polytope* of the amoeba $\mathscr{A}_\omega$ is a convex hull of the image of the order map ν_ω. We denote the Newton polytope by N_ω.

Definition 2.6. The *relative interior* ri C of a set C is its interior within the affine hull of C. The affine hull of C is the smallest affine space containing C.

Definition 2.7. If $f(x)$ is a convex function in $\mathbb{R}^m$, then by the gradient of $f(x)$ at x_0 we will mean the set

$$\nabla f(x_0) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^m : f(x) - f(x_0) \geq (y, x - x_0), \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^m\}.$$

When $f(x)$ is differentiable at x_0, $\nabla f(x_0)$ consists of a single point which is just the usual gradient of $f(x)$. In the convex analysis setting $\nabla f(x)$ is also called the subdifferential of the function $f(x)$.

Theorem 2.5. *The order map is injective. Let C be a connected component of $\mathbb{R}^m \setminus \mathscr{A}_\omega$. Then the recession cone of C is equal to the normal cone to the Newton polytope N_ω at the point $\nu_\omega(C)$.*

We need several technical statements to prove this theorem.

Lemma 2.1. *Let v be a vector in $\mathbb{R}^m$. Then there is a connected component C of $\mathbb{R}^m \setminus \mathscr{A}_\omega$ such that $v \in \operatorname{recc}(C)$, $\dim \operatorname{recc}(C) = m$, and $v \in \operatorname{norm}_{N_\omega}(\nu_\omega(C))$.*

Proof. Because $\dim \Sigma_\omega = n < m$, $\mathbb{R}^m \setminus |\Sigma_\omega|$ is an open dense subset of $\mathbb{R}^m$. Thus there is a connected component K of $\mathbb{R}^m \setminus |\Sigma_\omega|$ such that v is contained in the closure $\overline{K}$ of K. Let $\{v_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of vectors in K such that $||v - v_j|| \to 0$ as $j \to +\infty$.

By Proposition 1.3, there is a connected component C of $\mathbb{R}^m \setminus \mathscr{A}_\omega$ such that $K + x \subset C$ for some $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ($K + x$ is the shift of the set K by a constant vector x). Since K is a cone and $K + x \subset C$, K is a subset of recc$C$. Because $\dim K = m$, we get $\dim \operatorname{recc} C = m$. Therefor for any $v \in \mathbb{R}^m$ there is a connected component C such that $v \in \operatorname{recc}(C)$ and $\dim \operatorname{recc}(C) = m$.

Theorem 2.6 ([16], Theorem 8.2 and Theorem 8.3)**.** *Let A be a non-empty closed convex set in $\mathbb{R}^m$. Then* recc$A$ *is closed and* $\operatorname{recc} A = \operatorname{recc}(\operatorname{ri} A)$.

Let us apply this theorem to the closure $\overline{C}$ of the set C. Since $\operatorname{ri}\overline{C} = C$, the recession cone of C is closed. Because $v_j \to v$ as $j \to +\infty$, we obtain $v \in \operatorname{recc} C$.

Let u be a vector in K. Observe that for any point $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ there is a constant $t_y \geq 0$ such that for any $t \geq t_y$ holds $tu + y \in K + x \subset C$. Consider the function $F_{y,u}(t) = R_\omega(tu + y)$, this function is convex, thus $\frac{\partial F_{y,u}}{\partial t}(t_1) \geq \frac{\partial F_{y,u}}{\partial t}(t_0)$ for $t_1 \geq t_0$.

Because, for $t \geq t_y$, $tu + y$ does not intersect $\mathscr{A}_\omega$, the function $F_{y,u}(t)$ is affine function on $[t_y, +\infty)$. Thus $\frac{\partial F_{y,u}}{\partial t}(t_y) \geq \frac{\partial F_{y,u}}{\partial t}(t)$ for any t. Observe that $\frac{\partial F_{y,u}}{\partial t}(t_0) = \langle \nabla R_\omega(t_0 u + y), u \rangle = \nabla_u R_\omega(t_0 u + y)$. Whence $\frac{\partial F_{y,u}}{\partial t}|_{t=t_y} = \langle \nu_\omega(C), u \rangle$.

Let C' be a connected component of $\mathbb{R}^m \setminus \mathscr{A}_\omega$ and y be a point in C'. Then $\langle \nu_\omega(C'), u \rangle = \frac{\partial F_{y,u}}{\partial t}(0) \leq \frac{\partial F_{y,u}}{\partial t}(t_y) = \langle \nu_\omega(C), u \rangle$. Put $u = v_j$, then any j and any connected component C' we get

$$\langle \nu_\omega(C'), v_j \rangle \leq \langle \nu_\omega(C), v_j \rangle.$$

Since $v_j \to v$ as $j \to +\infty$, we get

$$\langle \nu_\omega(C'), v \rangle \leq \langle \nu_\omega(C), v \rangle.$$

The last inequity is equivalent to

$$\langle v_\omega(C') - v_\omega(C), v\rangle \le 0.$$

Because N_ω is a convex hull of $v_\omega(C'), C' \in \Upsilon$, we obtain $v \in \mathrm{norm}_{N_\omega}(v_\omega(C))$. □

Corollary 2.1. *The Newton polytope N_ω is bounded.*

Proof. Consider a set of vectors $v_1, \dots, v_{m+1} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ such that $v_1, \dots, v_m$ is an orthonormal basis and $v_{m+1} = -v_1 - \dots - v_m$. Then by Lemma 2.1 there is a connected component C_j of $\mathbb{R}^m \setminus \mathscr{A}_\omega$ such that $v_j \in \mathrm{norm}_{N_\omega}(v_\omega(C_j))$ for any j. This means that

$$N_\omega \subset \bigcap_{j=1}^{m+1} \{x \in \mathbb{R}^m : \langle x, v_j\rangle \le d_j\}$$

for some numbers d_j. The latter set is bounded for any choice of d_j. □

Lemma 2.2. *Let $f(x)$ be a convex function on $\mathbb{R}^m$. Given two open convex sets C and C' such that the restrictions $f(x)|_C$ and $f(x)|_{C'}$ are affine functions. Suppose there is a vector v such that v belongs to recession cones of sets C and C', i.e., $v \in \mathrm{recc}(C)$ and $v \in \mathrm{recc}(C')$. Then $\nabla_v f(x) = \nabla_v f(x')$ for any $x \in C$ and $x' \in C'$, where $\nabla_v f(x)$ is the directional derivative of $f(x)$ in the direction v.*

Proof. With out lose of generality may assume that $f(x)|_C \equiv 0$ and $f(x)|_{C'} = \langle a, x\rangle + a_0$, where a is some vector from $\mathbb{R}^m$ and a_0 is some real number. Because $v \in \mathrm{recc}(C) \cap \mathrm{recc}(C')$, we can find two points $x \in C$, $x' \in C'$ and a real number d such that x and x' belong to the plane $\{y \in \mathbb{R}^m : \langle v, y\rangle = d\}$. Let us denote $x_t = x + tv$ and $x'_t = x' + tv$. Obviously, for any $t > 0$, we have $x_t \in C$ and $x'_t \in C'$. Consider the function $F_t(\lambda) = f(\lambda x_t + (1-\lambda)x'_t)$. Since f is convex, $F_t(\lambda)$ is also convex. We have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} F_t(\lambda) = \langle \nabla f(y)|_{y=\lambda x_t + (1-\lambda)x'_t}, x_t - x'_t\rangle = \langle \nabla f(y)|_{y=\lambda x_t + (1-\lambda)x'_t}, x - x'\rangle.$$

Because $F_t(\lambda)$ is convex, we have $F_t(\lambda) \ge \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} F_t(\lambda)|_{\lambda=\lambda_0}(\lambda - \lambda_0) + F_t(\lambda_0)$ for any λ and λ_0. Thus, for $\lambda_0 = 0$, we get

$$F_t(\lambda) \ge \langle a, x\rangle + a_0 + t\langle a, v\rangle,$$

and, for $\lambda_0 = 1$, we get

$$F_t(\lambda) \ge 0.$$

This gives us two inequities

$$F_t(1) = 0 \ge \langle a, x\rangle + a_0 + t\langle a, v\rangle$$

and

$$F_t(0) = \langle a, x'\rangle + a_0 + t\langle a, v\rangle \ge 0,$$

they should hold for any $t \ge 0$. It is possible iff $\langle a, v\rangle = 0$. Because $\nabla_v f(y)|_{y=x} = 0$ and $\nabla_v f(y)|_{y=x'} = \langle a, v\rangle$, this gives us the statement of the lemma. □

Proposition 2.7. *The following inclusions hold*

$$\mathrm{ri}\, N_\omega \subset \mathrm{Im}\nabla R_\omega \subset N_\omega.$$

Proof. Proof of this proposition repeats the proof of Theorem 3 in [14]. The image of the gradient map $\mathrm{Im}\nabla R_\omega$ of the convex function R_ω by Definition 2.7 is equal to the set of $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^m$ such that $R_\omega(x) - \langle \xi, x\rangle$ attains its global minimum in $\mathbb{R}^m$. Let G be the set of all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^m$ such that $R_\omega(x) - \langle \xi, x\rangle$ is bounded from below on $\mathbb{R}^m$. It is easy to see that $\mathrm{Im}\nabla R_\omega$ is contained in G and that the interior of G is contained in $\mathrm{Im}\nabla R_\omega$. Hence the claim will follow if we prove that G is equal to the Newton polytope N_ω of $\mathscr{A}_\omega$.

Let Υ be a set of connected components of $\mathbb{R}^m \setminus \mathscr{A}_\omega$. For each $C \in \Upsilon$ choose a point x_C such that $x \in C$. Consider the function

$$S(x) = \sup_{C \in \Upsilon} \langle v_\omega(C), x - x_C\rangle + R_\omega(x_C).$$

Obviously $R_\omega(x) \ge S(x)$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$. It is easy to see that if ξ is in N_ω, then the function $S(x) - \langle \xi, x\rangle$ is bounded from below. Since $R_\omega(x) - \langle \xi, x\rangle \ge S(x) - \langle \xi, x\rangle$, $R_\omega(x) - \langle \xi, x\rangle$ is also bounded from below.

If ξ is outside N_ω, take $y \in \mathrm{R}^m$ such that $\langle \xi, y\rangle > \sup_{\zeta\in N_\omega}\langle \zeta, y\rangle$ (because N_ω is convex, this y exists). By Lemma 2.1, there is a connected component $C \in \Upsilon$ such that $y \in \mathrm{recc}(C)$ and $y \in \mathrm{norm}_{N_\omega}(\nu_\omega(C))$, this means that the supremum $\sup_{\zeta\in N_\omega}\langle \zeta, y\rangle$ is attained at the point $\zeta = \nu_\omega(C)$. Because $y \in \mathrm{recc}(C)$, for any $t > 0$, we have $x = x_C + ty \in C$ and $R_\omega(x_C + ty) = R_\omega(x_C) + \langle \zeta, ty\rangle$. Whence $R_\omega(x_C + ty) - \langle \xi, x_c + ty\rangle = R_\omega(x_C) + \langle \zeta - \xi, ty\rangle - \langle \xi, x_c\rangle \to -\infty$ as $t \to \infty$, so ξ is not in G. □

Now we can prove Theorem 2.5.

Proof. Let us show that the order map is injective. Suppose there are two connected components C and C' such that $\nu_\omega(C) = \nu_\omega(C')$. Without lose of generality we may assume that $\nu_\omega(C) = \nu_\omega(C') = 0$. By Theorem 2.3, R_ω is convex, therefore $R_\omega(y) \geq \langle \nabla R_\omega(y), y - x\rangle + R_\omega(x)$. Whence we get $R_\omega(y) \geq R_\omega(x)$ and $R_\omega(y) \geq R_\omega(x')$, where $x \in C$ and $x' \in C'$. This implies $R_\omega(x) = R_\omega(x')$. We may assume that $R_\omega(x) = R_\omega(x') = 0$. Thus we have $R_\omega(y) \geq 0$ for any $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$. In the other hand, since R_ω is convex, $R_\omega(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)x') \leq 0$ for $\lambda \in [0,1]$. Whence $R_\omega(x) \equiv 0$ on a convex hull $\mathrm{conv}(C \cup C')$ of $C \cup C'$. By Proposition 2.5, C and C' are open, hence $\mathrm{conv}(C \cup C')$ is also open. By Theorem 2.3, the restriction of the Ronkin function $R_\omega(x)$ to a connected open set U is affine iff U does not intersect $\mathscr{A}_\omega$. Whence $\mathrm{conv}(C \cup C') \subset \mathbb{R}^m \setminus \mathscr{A}_\omega$. Since $\mathrm{conv}(C \cup C')$ is connected, we have to have $C = C'$.

Let us show that $v \in \mathrm{recc}(C)$ implies $v \in \mathrm{norm}_{N_\omega}(\nu_\omega(C))$. Suppose that $v \in \mathrm{recc}(C)$. By Lemma 2.1, there is a connected component C' such that $v \in \mathrm{norm}_{N_\omega}(\nu_\omega(C'))$ and $v \in \mathrm{recc}(C')$. By Lemma 2.2, we get $\nabla_v R_\omega(x) = \nabla_v R_\omega(x')$, where $x \in C, x' \in C'$. Hence $\langle \nu_\omega(C'), v\rangle = \langle \nu_\omega(C), v\rangle$.

Consider the function $f_v(y) = \langle y, v\rangle$ on the set N_ω. A point x is a maximum point of f_v iff $v \in \mathrm{norm}_{N_\omega}(x)$. Because $v \in \mathrm{norm}_{N_\omega}(\nu_\omega(C'))$, the function f_v attains its maximum at the point $\nu_\omega(C')$. Since

$$f_v(\nu_\omega(C')) = \langle \nu_\omega(C'), v\rangle = \langle \nu_\omega(C), v\rangle = f_v(\nu_\omega(C)),$$

we see that f_v has a maximum at the point $\nu_\omega(C)$. Therefore $v \in \mathrm{norm}_{N_\omega}(\nu_\omega(C))$.

Let us show that $v \in \mathrm{norm}_{N_\omega}(\nu_\omega(C))$ implies $v \in \mathrm{recc}(C)$. Suppose $v \in \mathrm{norm}_{N_\omega}(\nu_\omega(C))$. We may assume that $R_\omega|_C \equiv 0$. Because $v \in \mathrm{norm}_{N_\omega}(\nu_\omega(C))$, the function $f_v(y) = \langle y, v\rangle$ on the set N_ω attains its maximum at the point $\nu_\omega(C)$. If x is a point in $\mathbb{R}^m$, then $\nabla_v R_\omega(x) = \langle v, \nabla R_\omega(x)\rangle$. Since, by Proposition 2.7, $\mathrm{Im}\nabla R_\omega \subset N_\omega$, we have

$$\max_{x\in\mathbb{R}^m} \nabla_v R_\omega(x) = \langle \nu_\omega(C), v\rangle.$$

Let us consider the function $g_x(t) = R_\omega(x + tv)$. It is convex function on $\mathbb{R}$, therefore $\frac{\partial g_x(t)}{\partial t}|_{t=t_1} \geq \frac{\partial g_x(t)}{\partial t}|_{t=t_0}$ when $t_1 > t_0$. Because $\frac{\partial g_x(t)}{\partial t} = \nabla_v R_\omega(x + vt)$, we obtain $\nabla_v R_\omega(x + vt) \geq \nabla_v R_\omega(x)$ for any $x \in C$ and $t > 0$. In the other hand, since $\max_{x\in\mathbb{R}^m} \nabla_v R_\omega(x) = \langle \nu_\omega(C), v\rangle$, we have $\nabla_v R_\omega(y) \leq \nabla_v R_\omega(x)$ for any $y \in \mathbb{R}^m, x \in C$. Thus $\nabla_v R_\omega(x + vt) = \nabla_v R_\omega(x)$ for any $x \in C$ and $t > 0$.

By assumption $R_\omega|_C \equiv 0$, whence $\nabla_v R_\omega(x + vt) \equiv 0$ and, consequently, $R_\omega(x + vt) \equiv 0$ for any $x \in C$ and $t > 0$. It follows form Proposition 2.5 that the set

$$\widetilde{C} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^m : x = y + vt, y \in C, t \geq 0\}$$

is open, also it is connected. By construction $R_\omega|_{\widetilde{C}} \equiv 0$. Therefore by Theorem 2.3 we get $\widetilde{C} \subset \mathbb{R}^m \setminus \mathscr{A}_\omega$, whence $\widetilde{C} = C$. Thus C contains the ray $x + vt, t \geq 0$, this means $v \in \mathrm{recc}(C)$. □

Remark 2.4. In the classical case the order map ν_F is integer-valued, therefore the number of connected component is $\leq |\mathbb{Z}^m \cap N_F|$. In the generalized case it is not possible to use this kind of argument at least in a general case. So there is a problem: find an upper bound of the number of connected components of $\mathbb{R}^m \setminus \mathscr{A}_\omega$. We believe that it is possible to estimate this number in terms of topology of $X \setminus V$. In particular, let $F(z_1, \ldots, z_m)$ be a Laurent polynomial, suppose that $X \setminus V = \{z \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^m | F(z_1, \ldots, z_m) = 0\}$ is smooth. Then, from [3], it follows that the number of integer point in the Newton polytope $|N_F \cap \mathbb{Z}^m|$ is equal to $\dim F^n H^n(X \setminus V, \mathbb{C}) + 1$, where $F^n H^n(X \setminus V, \mathbb{C})$ is the n-th term of the Hodge filtration on the cohomology group $H^n(X \setminus V, \mathbb{C})$. The results from tropical geometry [7] suggest that the same estimation possibly could be true in the generalized case.

Remark 2.5. Let us note that initial data of the generalized amoeba, which are a complex manifold X and a set of special meromorphic forms $\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_m$, has an algebraic geometry character. Moreover, Theorem 1.1 gives a nice

description of this type of data in the Kähler manifold case. But, so far, we have only analytical description of the Newton polytope N_ω. It would be interesting to find a purely algebraic geometry style description of N_ω.

In the classical case the Newton polytope N_F has a straightforward description in terms of the polynomial $F(z)$. One can find more elaborated decryption of the Newton polytope in terms of an appropriate toric compactification X_F of $\{F(x)=0\}\subset(\mathbb{C}^*)^m\subset X_F$. Then Newton polytope plays role of generalized degree of the variety $\overline{\{F(x)=0\}}$ in X_F. The further generalization of this idea is called the Newton-Okunkov body [9]. It seems that there is no straightforward relation between Newton-Okunkov bodies and Newton polytopes of generalized amoebas. We think that it could be interesting to find a relation (if there is any) between these two objects.

2.4. **Monge-Ampère measure of the Ronkin function.** Now we are going to prove some statements about the Monge-Ampère measure of the Ronkin function. These statements are generalizations of Theorem 3 and Theorem 4 from [14]. The proofs of these generalizations repeat the proofs of the classical amoeba versions.

If $f(x)$ is a smooth convex function, its Hessian $\mathrm{Hess}(f)$ is a positive semi-definite matrix. In particular, the determinant of the Hessian is a nonnegative function. The product of $\det\mathrm{Hess}(f)$ with ordinary Lebesgue measure is known as the real Monge-Ampère measure of $f(x)$, and we will denote it by $Mf=\det\mathrm{Hess}(f)\,dx$. In fact, the Monge-Ampère operator can be extended to all convex functions. In general, if $f(x)$ is a non-smooth convex function, Mf will be a positive measure, see [15]. Hence MR_ω is a positive measure supported on $\mathscr{A}_\omega$.

Using the polarization formula for the determinant we obtain a unique symmetric multilinear form

$$M(f_1,\dots,f_m)=\sum_{J,K\in S_m}\frac{\mathrm{sign}J+\mathrm{sign}K}{m!}\prod_{p=1}^{m}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{j_p}\partial x_{k_p}}f_p dx \tag{10}$$

such that $M(f,\dots,f)=M(f)$, here S_m is the symmetric group of degree m, $K=(k_1,\dots,k_m), J=(j_1,\dots,j_m)$, and $\mathrm{sign}J$ is the parity of J. One can extend this multilinear form to the set of all convex functions on $\mathbb{R}^m$.

Let E be a Borel set in $\mathbb{R}^m$. Denote by 1_E the indicator function of the set E, i.e., $1_E(x)=1$ if $x\in E$, and $1_E(x)=0$ if $x\notin E$. Then for any C^2-functions $f_2,\dots,f_m$ we can define $M(R_\omega,f_2,\dots,f_m)(E)$ to be equal to

$$M(R_\omega,f_2,\dots,f_m)(E)=\sum_{J,K\in S_m}\frac{\mathrm{sign}J+\mathrm{sign}K}{m!}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{j_1}\partial x_{k_1}}R_\omega[1_E\prod_{p=2}^{m}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{j_p}\partial x_{k_p}}f_p dx].$$

It is easy to check that this formula is consistent with definition of $M(f_1,\dots,f_m)$ for convex functions $f_1,\dots,f_m$.

Proposition 2.8. *Let E be any Borel set in $\mathbb{R}^m$. Let us denote $d^c=\partial-\overline{\partial}$. Then*

$$m!M(R_\omega,f_2,\dots,f_m)(E)=\mathrm{Re}\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n}\int_{\mathrm{Log}_\omega^{-1}(E)}dd^c\mathrm{Log}_\omega^* f_2\wedge\dots\wedge dd^c\mathrm{Log}_\omega^* f_m. \tag{11}$$

Denote by $\Delta=\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2}+\dots+\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_m^2}$ the Laplace operator. Then

$$\int_E\Delta R_\omega dx=\frac{1}{n!}\mathrm{Re}\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n}\int_{\mathrm{Log}_\omega^{-1}(E)}(-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{m}\omega_j\wedge\overline{\omega}_j)^n.$$

Proof. Recall that $\mathrm{Log}_\omega(z)=(x_1(z),\dots,x_m(z)), z\in X\setminus V$, and $dx_j=\frac{1}{2}(\omega_j+\overline{\omega}_j)$. Therefore we obtain

$$dd^c\mathrm{Log}_\omega^* f_p=-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j,k=1}^{m}\mathrm{Log}_\omega^*(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial_j\partial_k}f_p)\omega_j\wedge\overline{\omega}_k,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}dd^c\mathrm{Log}_\omega^* f_2\wedge\dots\wedge dd^c\mathrm{Log}_\omega^* f_m=\\ =(-\frac{1}{2})^n(-1)^{\frac{(n-1)n}{2}}\sum_{j_2,\dots,j_m,k_2,\dots,k_m=1}^{m}\mathrm{Log}_\omega^*(\prod_{p=2}^{m}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial_{j_p}\partial_{k_p}}f_p)\omega_{j_2}\wedge\dots\wedge\omega_{j_m}\wedge\overline{\omega}_{k_2}\wedge\dots\wedge\overline{\omega}_{k_2}=\\ =(-\frac{1}{2})^n(-1)^{\frac{(n-1)n}{2}}\sum_{K,J\in S_n}(-1)^{j_1+k_1}(\mathrm{sign}K+\mathrm{sign}J)\mathrm{Log}_\omega^*(\prod_{p=2}^{m}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial_{j_p}\partial_{k_p}}f_p)\Omega_{j_1}\wedge\overline{\Omega}_{k_1},\end{aligned}$$

where $K=(k_1,\dots,k_m), J=(j_1,\dots,j_m)$.

Combining the last expression with the definition of $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_k \partial x_j} R_\omega$ (7) and with the formula of $M(R_\omega, f_1,\dots,f_m)(E)$ we obtain

$$\mathrm{Re}\int_{\mathrm{Log}_\omega^{-1}(E)} \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} dd^c \mathrm{Log}_\omega^* f_2 \wedge \dots \wedge dd^c \mathrm{Log}_\omega^* f_m =$$

$$= \sum_{K,J\in S_n} (\mathrm{sign}K + \mathrm{sign}J) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{k_1}\partial x_{j_1}} R_\omega [1_E \prod_{p=2}^{m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial_{j_p}\partial_{k_p}} f_p dx] = m! M(R_\omega, f_1,\dots,f_m)(E).$$

Consider the function $||x||^2 = x_1^2+\dots+x_m^2$. Since $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_k \partial x_j}||x||^2 = 2\delta_{ij}$ (Kronecker delta), using (10) we obtain

$$M(R_\omega, ||x||^2,\dots,||x||^2)(E) = \int_E \frac{2^n}{m} \Delta R_\omega dx. \tag{12}$$

Let us compute $dd^c \mathrm{Log}_\omega^* ||x||^2$. Since $dx_j^2 = 2x_j dx_j$, we get $d\mathrm{Log}_\omega^* x_j^2 = \mathrm{Log}_\omega^* dx_j^2 = 2\mathrm{Log}_\omega^*(x_j)\frac{1}{2}(\omega_j+\overline{\omega}_j)$. Whence

$$dd^c \mathrm{Log}_\omega^*(x_j^2) = -d^c d\mathrm{Log}_\omega^*(x_j^2) = -2d^c \mathrm{Log}_\omega^*(x_j)\frac{1}{2}(\omega_j+\overline{\omega}_j) = -\frac{1}{2}(\omega_j-\overline{\omega}_j)\wedge(\omega_j+\overline{\omega}_j) = -\omega_j\wedge\overline{\omega}_j.$$

Thus we have

$$dd^c \mathrm{Log}_\omega^* ||x||^2 = -\sum_{j=1}^m \omega_j \wedge \overline{\omega}_j.$$

Using (12) and (11) we get

$$\int_E \frac{2^n}{m}\Delta R_\omega dx = M(R_\omega, ||x||^2,\dots,||x||^2)(E) = \frac{1}{m!}\mathrm{Re}\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n}\int_{\mathrm{Log}_\omega^{-1}(E)} (-1)^n (\sum_{j=1}^m \omega_j\wedge\overline{\omega}_j)^n.$$

Whence we obtain

$$\int_E \Delta R_\omega dx = \frac{1}{n!}\mathrm{Re}\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n}\int_{\mathrm{Log}_\omega^{-1}(E)} (-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^m \omega_j\wedge\overline{\omega}_j)^n.$$

□

Proposition 2.9. *The total mass of MR_ω is equal to the volume of the Newton polytope N_ω.*

Proof. From the results of [15, Proposition 3.4 and Definition 2.6], it is known that

$$Mf(E) = \text{Lebesgue measure of } \nabla f(E),$$

where E is a Borel set and $\nabla f(E)$ is the image of E under the gradient map $\nabla f(x)$. By Proposition 2.7 we have $\mathrm{ri}\, N_\omega \subset \mathrm{Im}\nabla R_\omega \subset N_\omega$, whence $MR_\omega(\mathbb{R}^m) = \mathrm{Vol}(N_\omega)$. □

3. Coordinate-free approach to amoebas.

In this section we are going to develop a coordinate-free approach to generalized amoebas. It does not provide any additional information about amoebas, but nevertheless it looks curious for us. We do not know any analog of these constructions in the classical amoeba case. But we use some ideas from tropical geometry [8], [11]. In particular, we use the notions of superforms and supercurrents.

Let X be a smooth compact complex $n-$dimensional manifold and let $V=\bigcup_j D_j$ be a simple normal crossing divisor on X. Denote the real vector space of imaginary normalized holomorphic differentials on $X\setminus V$ by $H\subset H^0(X\setminus V,\Omega^1)$, and denote the singular set of a differential from $\omega\in H$ by $\mathrm{Sing}\omega$.

Let L be a real vector space of dimension m. We denote its dual by L^*, and $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ is the natural paring between L and L^*. Take any linear map $\varphi: L\to H$. Consider

$$\mathrm{Sing}\varphi = \bigcup_{\omega\in\mathrm{Im}\varphi} \mathrm{Sing}\omega,$$

it is some simple normal crossing divisor and $\mathrm{Sing}\varphi\subset V$. Let us fix a point p_0 in $X\setminus\mathrm{Sing}\varphi$ and define the following map

$$\mathrm{Log}_{\varphi,p_0}: X\setminus\mathrm{Sing}\varphi \to L^*,$$

$$\langle \mathrm{Log}_{\varphi,p_0}(p), l\rangle = \mathrm{Re}\int_{p_0}^{p}\varphi(l),$$

where $\langle \mathrm{Log}_{\varphi,p_0}(p), l\rangle$ is the paring between the linear functional $\mathrm{Log}_{\varphi,p_0}(p)\in L^*$ and an element $l\in L$.

Definition 3.1. The *generalized amoebas* $\mathscr{A}_\varphi$ is the image in L^* of the map $\mathrm{Log}_{\varphi,p_0}$.

Let $l_1,\dots,l_m$ be a basis of L. Then we can define the map

$$\Phi : L^* \to \mathbb{R}^m,$$

$$\Phi(\xi) = (\langle \xi, l_1\rangle, \dots . \langle \xi, l_m\rangle).$$

Consider $\omega = (\omega_1,\dots,\omega_m)$, where $\omega_j = \varphi(l_j)$. Then

$$\Phi \circ \mathrm{Log}_{\varphi,p_0} = \mathrm{Log}_{\omega,p_0}.$$

In other words, the definition of the generalized amoeba that was used in the previous sections corresponds to a particular choice of basis in L. That is why we consider the approach of this section to be coordinate-free.

The choice of the point p_0 does not play much role. For $p_0, p_0'\in X\setminus \mathrm{Sing}\varphi$ the corresponding maps $\mathrm{Log}_{\omega,p_0}$, $\mathrm{Log}_{\omega,p_0'}$ differ from each other by the shift by a constant vector. We consider the maps $\mathrm{Log}_{\varphi,p_0}$ for the different choices of p_0 to be equivalent, and we will usually write just Log_φ instead of $\mathrm{Log}_{\varphi,p_0}$.

Let $\mathscr{E}_c^p(L^*)$ be a space of C^∞-smooth differential forms of degree p with compact support on L^*. Obviously,

$$\mathscr{E}_c^p(L^*) = C_c^\infty(L^*)\otimes \Lambda^p L,$$

where $C_c^\infty(L^*)$ is C^∞-smooth functions with compact support on L^* and $\Lambda^p L$ is pth exterior power of L. Let $\mathscr{D}^p(L^*)$ be a space of currents of degree p, i.e., continuous linear functional on $\mathscr{E}_c^{m-p}(L^*)$, we have the following natural isomorphism $\mathscr{D}^p(L^*)\cong \mathscr{D}^0(L^*)\otimes\Lambda^p L$.

The linear map $\varphi : L\to H$ induces the map $\varphi:\Lambda^p L\to \Lambda^p H$, we consider the space $\Lambda^p H$ as a subspace of the space of closed holomorphic p-forms on $X\setminus V$. Consider the following map

$$S_\varphi^p : \Lambda^p L \to \mathscr{D}^{m-2n+p}(L^*),$$

$$S_\varphi^p(l)[\psi] = -(-1)^{\frac{m(m+1)}{2}}\mathrm{Re}\int_X \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n}\varphi(l)\wedge \mathrm{Log}_\omega^*(\psi),$$

where $l\in\Lambda^p L$, $\psi\in\mathscr{E}_c^{2n-p}(L^*)$ and $\mathrm{Log}_\omega^*(\psi)$ is the pullback of a differential form ψ, in other words,

$$S_\varphi^p(l_1\wedge\dots\wedge l_p)[\psi] = -(-1)^{\frac{m(m+1)}{2}}\mathrm{Re}\int_X \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n}\varphi(l_1)\wedge\dots\wedge\varphi(l_p)\wedge \mathrm{Log}_\omega^*(\psi),$$

where $l_j\in L$. The linear map S_φ^p is an element of $\mathrm{Hom}(\Lambda^p L, \mathscr{D}^{m-2n+p}(L^*))$, this space is naturally isomorphic to $\mathscr{D}^0(L^*)\otimes\Lambda^p L^*\otimes\Lambda^{m-2n+p}L$, we will consider S_φ^p as an element of the later space.

We may think that S_φ^p is a current on L^* of degree $m-2n+p$ with coefficients in $\Lambda^p L^*$. Let us check that this current is closed. Because $d\varphi(l)=0$ for any $l\in\Lambda^p L$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} dS_\varphi^p(l)[\psi] = \pm S_\varphi^p(l)[d\psi] &= \pm\mathrm{Re}\int_X (-1)^{\frac{m(m-1)}{2}}\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n}\varphi(l)\wedge d\mathrm{Log}_\omega^*(\psi)\\ &= \pm\int_X (-1)^{\frac{m(m-1)}{2}}\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} d\varphi(l)\wedge \mathrm{Log}_\omega^*(\psi) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, if $m-2n+p>0$, then S_φ^p is exact and there is a current $T_\varphi^{p-1}\in\mathscr{D}^0(L^*)\otimes\Lambda^p L^*\otimes\Lambda^{m-2n+p-1}L$ such that $dT_\varphi^{p-1} = S_\varphi^p$.

Let us define the residue along the divisor D_j as follows

$$\mathrm{Res}_{D_j} : L\to\mathbb{R},$$

$$\mathrm{Res}_{D_j} l = \mathrm{Res}_{D_j}\varphi(l).$$

Therefore there is a correspondence $D_j \to \mathrm{Res}_{D_j}(\cdot) \in L^*$. Suppose that $\mathrm{Sing}\varphi = \bigcup_{j=1}^s D_j$. If J is a subset of $\{1,\dots,s\}$, then we can define the cone in L^*

$$\Sigma_J = \{\lambda = -\sum_{j\in J} \lambda_j \mathrm{Res}_{D_j}(\cdot) \in L^*, \lambda_j \geq 0\}.$$

Definition 3.2. The *asymptotic fan* Σ_φ of the amoeba $\mathscr{A}_\varphi$ is the set of all cones Σ_J such that $\bigcap_{j\in J} D_j \neq \emptyset$.

Definition 3.3. We say that the amoeba $\mathscr{A}_\varphi$ satisfies the *nondegeneracy condition* if the map $\varphi : \Lambda^n L \to H^0(X \setminus V, \Omega^n)$ is not identically zero.

Suppose that $p = n$ and $m = n+1$, then $T_\varphi^{n-1} \in \mathscr{D}^0(L^*) \otimes \Lambda^n L^* \otimes \Lambda^0 L = \mathscr{D}^0(L^*) \otimes \Lambda^n L^*$. Now, let us fix an orientation on L, this choice of orientation defines an orientation of L^*. Let C be a connected component of $L^* \setminus \mathscr{A}_\varphi$ and let ψ_C be an element of $\mathscr{E}_c^m(L^*)$ such that $\mathrm{supp}\,\psi_C \subset C$ and $\int_{L^*} \psi_C = 1$ (we need an orientation on L^* to define this integral). Denote the set of connected components of $L^* \setminus \mathscr{A}_\varphi$ by Υ.

Definition 3.4. The *order map* ν_φ is the following map

$$\nu_\varphi : \Upsilon \to \Lambda^n L^*,$$
$$\nu_\varphi(C) = T_\varphi^{n-1}[\psi_C].$$

This map is well-defined. Indeed, consider two differential forms $\psi_C^1, \psi_C^2 \in \mathscr{E}_c^m(L^*)$ such that $\mathrm{supp}\,\psi_C^j \subset C$ and $\int_{L^*} \psi_C^j = 1$. Since $\int_{L^*} \psi_C^1 - \psi_C^2 = 0$, there is a differential form $\omega \in \mathscr{E}_c^{m-1}(L^*)$ such that $d\omega = \psi_C^1 - \psi_C^2$. We may choose ω in such a way that $\mathrm{supp}\,\omega \subset C$. We want to show that $T_\varphi^{n-1}[\psi_C^1] = T_\varphi^{n-1}[\psi_C^2]$. Consider

$$T_\varphi^{n-1}[\psi_C^1] - T_\varphi^{n-1}[\psi_C^2] = T_\varphi^{n-1}[d\omega] = \pm d T_\varphi^{n-1}[\omega] = \pm S_\varphi^n[\omega].$$

It is easy to check that $\mathrm{supp} S_\varphi^n \subset \mathscr{A}_\varphi$, then $\mathrm{supp} S_\varphi^n \cap \mathrm{supp}\,\omega = \emptyset$ and $S_\varphi^n[\omega] = 0$, we obtain $T_\varphi^{n-1}[\psi_C^1] = T_\varphi^{n-1}[\psi_C^2]$.

Definition 3.5. The *Newton polytope* $N_\varphi \subset \Lambda^n L^*$ of the amoeba $\mathscr{A}_\varphi$ is the convex hull of the image of ν_φ.

Consider two vectors $\xi \in L^*$ and $\kappa \in \Lambda^n L^*$, we say that $\kappa \wedge \xi \leq 0$ if $\kappa \wedge \xi = 0$ or $\kappa \wedge \xi$ defines the negative orientation on L^* ($\kappa \wedge \xi \in \Lambda^m L^*$ is a top degree differential from on L with a constant coefficient, thus, if $\kappa \wedge \xi \neq 0$, it defines orientation on L and L^*). This relation is well-defined, because we fixed an orientation on L^*.

We define the *normal cone* to N_φ at a point $x \in N_\varphi$ to be equal to

$$\mathrm{norm}_{N_\varphi}(x) = \{\xi \in L^* | \forall y \in N_\varphi, (y-x) \wedge \xi \leq 0\}.$$

Thus we can rewrite Theorem 2.5 in a new terms as follows

Theorem 3.1. *The order map is injective. Let C be a connected component of $L^* \setminus \mathscr{A}_\varphi$. Then the recession cone of C is equal to the normal cone to the Newton polytope N_φ at the point $\nu_\varphi(C)$.*

We will prove that this theorem is equivalent to Theorem 2.5 at the end of this section.

Let us fix an orientation on L and a scalar product $(\cdot,\cdot)$ on L. Then there are a natural isomorphism $L \overset{\imath}{\simeq} L^*$

$$\langle \imath l, h\rangle = (l,h),$$

where $\imath l \in L^*, l, h \in L$. Consider the Hodge star operator

$$* : \Lambda^p L \to \Lambda^{m-p} L,$$

we use the standard definition from the linear algebra, to define the Hodge star we need an orientation and a scalar product on L. Then we have the isomorphisms $* \circ \imath : \Lambda^p L^* \to \Lambda^{m-p} L$ and

$$\mathscr{D}^0(L^*) \otimes \Lambda^p L^* \otimes \Lambda^{m-2n+p} L \overset{\mathrm{id}\otimes *\circ \imath \otimes \mathrm{id}}{\simeq} \mathscr{D}^0(L^*) \otimes \Lambda^{m-p} L \otimes \Lambda^{m-2n+p} L.$$

Denote by $\check{S}_\varphi^p$ the image of S_φ^p under this isomorphism.

Given $\theta \in \mathscr{D}^p(L^*)$, then the differential $d : \mathscr{D}^p(L^*) \to \mathscr{D}^{p+1}(L^*)$ is defined by the equality

$$d\theta[\psi] = (-1)^{p+1} \theta[d\psi]$$

for any $\psi \in \mathscr{E}_c^{m-p-1}(L^*)$.

Let us denote

$$\mathscr{D}^{p,q}(L^*) = \mathscr{D}^0(L^*) \otimes \Lambda^p L \otimes \Lambda^q L,$$

this space is called the space of supercurrents of bidegree (p,q) on L^*, it have been defined in [11], [8]. There is an isomorphism $\mathscr{D}^{p,q}(L^*) \cong \Lambda^p L \otimes \mathscr{D}^q(L^*)$, using this isomorphism we define the differential

$$d'' : \mathscr{D}^{p,q}(L^*) \to \mathscr{D}^{p,q+1}(L^*)$$

as follows $d'' = (-1)^p \mathrm{id} \otimes d$. There is another isomorphism $\mathscr{D}^{p,q}(L^*) \cong \mathscr{D}^p(L^*) \otimes \Lambda^q L$, in the similar way it gives us the differential

$$d' : \mathscr{D}^{p,q}(L^*) \to \mathscr{D}^{p+1,q}(L^*)$$

$d' = d \otimes \mathrm{id}$. The differentials d', d'' are tropical analogs of ∂ and $\overline{\partial}$ operators.

Let f be a function $L_{1,loc}(L^*)$, then it defines current $f \in D^0(L^*)$ as follows

$$f[\psi] = \int_{L^*} f\psi,$$

where $\psi \in \mathscr{E}_c^m(L^*)$. We can identify $D^0(L^*)$ with $D^{0,0}(L^*)$, thus $d'd''f$ is an element of $D^{1,1}(L^*)$.

Suppose that $p = n$ and $m = n+1$, then $\check{S}_\varphi^n \in \mathscr{D}^0(L^*) \otimes \Lambda^1 L \otimes \Lambda^1 L$.

Definition 3.6. The *Ronkin function* R_φ of the amoeba $\mathscr{A}_\varphi$ is a function on L^* such that $d'd''R_\varphi = \check{S}_\varphi^n$.

Proposition 3.1. *In the coordinate form Definition 3.6 is exactly the definition of the Ronkin function from the previous section (Definition 2.3).*

Proof. Choose a basis $e_1, \dots, e_m$ of L such that $(e_j, e_k) = \delta_{jk}$ and the positive orientation on L^* is given by the form $e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_m$. Let $e_1^*, \dots, e_m^*$ be a dual basis of L^*, i.e., $\langle e_j, e_k^* \rangle = \delta_{jk}$. We consider $e_j \in L$ as a differential 1-form on L^* with constant coefficients.

Let us fix notations

$$e^*[j] = e_1^* \wedge \dots \wedge e_{j-1}^* \wedge e_{j+1}^* \wedge \dots \wedge e_m^*,$$
$$e[j] = e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_{j-1} \wedge e_{j+1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_m.$$

Consider the set of imaginary normalized differentials $\omega = (\omega_1, \dots \omega_m)$, where $\omega_j = \varphi(e_j)$. Denote

$$\Omega_j = \omega_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{j-1} \wedge \omega_{j+1} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_m = \varphi(e[j]).$$

Given a differential form $\psi \in \mathscr{E}_c^n(L^*)$, we can write it in the coordinates as follows

$$\psi = \sum_{k=1}^m \phi_k(l^*) \wedge e[k],$$

where $\phi_k(l^*) \in C_c^\infty(L^*)$.

Observe that $\mathrm{Log}_\varphi^*(e_j) = \frac{\omega_j + \overline{\omega}_j}{2}$. Then we have

$$S_\varphi^n[\psi] = -(-1)^{\frac{m(m+1)}{2}} \sum_{k,j=1}^m (\mathrm{Re} \int_X \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} \mathrm{Log}_\varphi^*(\phi_k) \frac{1}{2^n} \Omega_j \wedge \overline{\Omega}_k) \otimes e^*[j].$$

We can write $S_\varphi^n \in \mathscr{D}^0(L^*) \otimes \Lambda^n L^* \otimes \Lambda^1 L$ in in terms of the basis as follows

$$S_\varphi^n = \sum_{k,j=1}^m S_k^j \otimes e^*[j] \otimes e_k,$$

where $S_k^j \in \mathscr{D}^0(L^*)$ and

$$S_k^j[\phi e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_m] = (-1)^k \mathrm{Re} \int_X \mathrm{Log}_\varphi^*(\phi) (-1)^{\frac{m(m+1)}{2}} \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} \frac{1}{2^n} \Omega_j \wedge \overline{\Omega}_k.$$

Let $\check{S}^n_\varphi = \sum_{k,j=1}^m S_{jk} \otimes e_j \otimes e_k$ be a coordinate form of $\check{S}^n_\varphi \in \mathscr{D}^0(L^*) \otimes \Lambda^1 L \otimes \Lambda^1 L$. Then using the isomorphism $\mathscr{D}^0(L^*) \otimes \Lambda^n L^* \otimes \Lambda^1 L \overset{\mathrm{id}\otimes *\circ\imath\otimes \mathrm{id}}{\simeq} \mathscr{D}^0(L^*) \otimes \Lambda^1 L \otimes \Lambda^1 L$ we get the equality

$$\check{S}^n_\varphi = \sum_{k,j=1}^m S_{jk} \otimes e_j \otimes e_k = \sum_{k,j=1}^m (-1)^{j-m} \check{S}^j_k \otimes e_j \otimes e_k,$$

and

$$S_{jk}[\phi e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_m] = (-1)^{j+k} \mathrm{Re} \int_X (-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} \mathrm{Log}^*_\varphi(\phi) \frac{1}{2^n} \Omega_j \wedge \overline{\Omega}_k.$$

Given a vector $l^* \in L^*$, we can write it as follows $l^* = x_1 e_1^* + \cdots + x_m e_m^* \in L^*$, where $x_1, \ldots, x_m$ is the coordinates of an vector l^*. Then

$$d'd'' R_\varphi(l^*) = \sum_{j,k=1}^m \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j \partial x_k} R_\varphi(x_1, \ldots, x_m) e_j \otimes e_k.$$

Thus

$$d'd'' R_\varphi = \check{S}^n_\varphi$$

iff $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j \partial x_k} R_\omega$ is equal to S_{jk} for any j,k. This is exactly Definition 2.3 of the Ronkin function. □

Therefore we can rewrite Theorem 2.3 as follows

Theorem 3.2. *The following statements hold:*

(1) *The Ronkin function R_φ exists and is unique up to addition of an affine function, it is a continuous convex function on L^*.*
(2) *Suppose that the amoeba $\mathscr{A}_\varphi$ satisfies the nondegeneracy condition. Then for any connected open set $C \subset L^*$ the restriction of R_φ to C is affine if and only if C does not intersect the amoeba $\mathscr{A}_\varphi$.*

Let us denote $\check{\nu}_\varphi = * \circ \imath \circ \nu_\varphi : \Upsilon \to L$. Then the convex hull of the image of $\check{\nu}_\varphi$ is the image of N_φ under the map $* \circ \imath$. Denote this convex set by $\check{N}_\varphi \subset L$. We define the normal cone to $\check{N}_\varphi$ at a point $p \in L$ to be equal to

$$\mathrm{norm}_{\check{N}_\varphi}(p) = \{l^* \in L^* : \forall l \in \check{N}_\varphi : \langle l - p, l^* \rangle \leq 0\}.$$

Using Proposition 3.1 it is easy to check that in the coordinate form $\check{N}_\varphi$ and $\check{\nu}_\varphi$ are equal to N_ω and ν_ω. Therefore we can rewrite Theorem 2.5 in terms of $\mathscr{A}_\varphi$, $\check{\nu}_\varphi$ and $\check{N}_\varphi$. The restriction of R_φ to a connected component of $L^* \setminus \mathscr{A}_\varphi$ is an affine function, therefore we can considerer $d''R_\varphi$ as a locally constant function on $L^* \setminus \mathscr{A}_\varphi$ with coefficients in L. We have $d''R_\varphi(l^*) = \check{\nu}_\varphi(C)$ for any $C \in \Upsilon$ and $l^* \in C$.

Now we can prove Theorem 3.1

Proof. Because we already know that there is the analog of Theorem 2.5 in terms of $\mathscr{A}_\varphi$, $\check{\nu}_\varphi, \check{N}_\varphi$, we need to check that $l^* \in \mathrm{norm}_{N_\varphi}(p)$ iff $l^* \in \mathrm{norm}_{\check{N}_\varphi}(* \circ \imath p)$.

Let us use the notations from the proof of Proposition 3.1. Consider an element $\kappa \in \Lambda^n L^*$, $\kappa = \sum_{j=1}^m a_j e^*[j]$ and an element $\xi \in L^*$, $\xi = \sum_{j=1}^m c_j e_j^*$. Then

$$\kappa \wedge \xi = \sum_{j=1}^m (-1)^{m-j} a_j c_j e_1^* \wedge \cdots \wedge e_m^*,$$

thus $\kappa \wedge \xi$ is negative iff $\sum_{j=1}^m (-1)^{m-j} a_j c_j \leq 0$.

Because $* \circ \imath e^*[j] = (-1)^{j-m} e_j$, we have $* \circ \imath \kappa = \sum_{j=1}^m (-1)^{j-m} a_j e_j$ and

$$\langle \xi, * \circ \imath \kappa \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^m (-1)^{m-j} a_j c_j.$$

Therefore,

$$\langle \xi, * \circ \imath \kappa \rangle \leq 0$$

iff $\kappa \wedge \xi$ is negative. This gives us the statement. □

In the same way as we defined supercurrent, we can define the space of superforms with compact support on L^* of bidegree (p,q)

$$\mathscr{E}_c^{p,q}(L^*) = C_c^\infty(L^*)\otimes\Lambda^p L\otimes\Lambda^q L.$$

There is an exterior product operation

$$\wedge : \mathscr{E}_c^{p,q}(L^*)\times\mathscr{E}_c^{p',q'}(L^*)\to\mathscr{E}_c^{p+p',q+q'}(L^*).$$

In the basis it works as follows. Let us denote $e_J = e_{j_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge e_{j_p}$, $J=\{j_1,\dots,j_p\}$, $K=\{k_1,\dots,k_q\}$, $J'=\{j'_1,\dots,j'_{p'}\}$, $K=\{k'_1,\dots,k'_{q'}\}$, $f(l^*),h(l^*)\in C_c^\infty(L^*)$, then

$$f(l^*)e_J\otimes e_K\wedge h(l^*)e_{J'}\otimes e_{K'} = (-1)^{qp'}f(l^*)h(l^*)e_J\wedge e_{J'}\otimes e_K\wedge e_{K'}.$$

Also we define an analog of a complex conjugation

$$I : \mathscr{E}_c^{p,q}(L^*)\to\mathscr{E}_c^{q,p}(L^*)$$

$I(f(l^*)e_J\otimes e_K) = (-1)^{pq}f(l^*)e_K\otimes e_J$.

Consider the isomorphism $\rho = (-1)^{\frac{m(m-1)}{2}}\mathrm{id}\otimes\mathrm{id}\otimes *$

$$\rho : \mathscr{E}_c^{m,m}(L^*) = C_c^\infty(L^*)\otimes\Lambda^m L\otimes\Lambda^m L\to C_c^\infty(L^*)\otimes\Lambda^m L\otimes\mathbb{R} = \mathscr{E}_c^m(L^*).$$

Let us define the paring

$$\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle : \mathscr{D}^{p,q}(L^*)\times\mathscr{E}_c^{m-p,m-q}(L^*)\to\mathbb{R}$$

as follows. If $f\,e_J\otimes e_K\in\mathscr{D}^{p,q}(L^*)$, $f\in\mathscr{D}^0(L^*)$ and $h(l^*)e_{J'}\otimes e_{K'}\in\mathscr{E}_c^{m-p,m-q}(L^*), h(l^*)\in C_c^\infty(L^*)$, then

$$\langle f\,e_J\otimes e_K, h(l^*)e_{J'}\otimes e_{K'}\rangle = \langle f,\rho(h(l^*)e_J\otimes e_K\wedge e_{J'}\otimes e_{K'})\rangle.$$

Definition 3.7. We say that a symmetric $(p,p)-$current T is positive if $\langle T,(-1)^{\frac{(m-p)(m-p-1)}{2}}\beta\wedge I(\beta)\rangle\geq 0$ for every $\beta\in\mathscr{E}_c^{m-p,0}(L^*)$.

Suppose that $n=m+1$. Since R_φ is convex, one can show that there is a well-defined supercurrent $\frac{1}{m!}(d'd''R_\varphi)^m\in\mathscr{D}^{m,m}(L^*)$ [11, Section 2]. Let E be a Borel set in L^* and let 1_E be an indicator function of E. We can consider 1_E as a $(0,0)$-superform on L^*. Denote by MR_φ the Monge-Ampère measure of R_φ, then we have

$$MR_\varphi(E) = \langle\frac{1}{m!}(d'd''R_\varphi)^m, 1_E\rangle.$$

Indeed, if $f(l^*)$ is a smooth function on L^* and $l^* = e_1^*x_1+\cdots+e_m^*x_m$, then

$$(d'd''f)^m = m!(-1)^{\frac{m(m-1)}{2}}\det(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j\partial x_k}f)e_1\wedge\cdots\wedge e_m\otimes e_1\wedge\cdots\wedge e_m.$$

Hence $\langle\frac{1}{m!}(d'd''R_\varphi)^m,1_E\rangle$ is equal to the Monge-Ampère measure of the set E. Then Proposition 2.9 can be written in a coordinate-free form as follows

$$MR_\varphi(L^*) = \mathrm{Vol}\check{N}_\varphi.$$

Remark 3.1. There is the following statement in [11].

Proposition 3.2 ([11], Proposition 1.13). *Let S be a d''-closed, positive $(1,1)$-supercurrent on $\mathbb{R}^m$. Then there exists a convex function $f:\mathbb{R}^m\to\mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$S = d'd''f.$$

One can check that $\check{S}_\varphi^n$ is closed and positive $(1,1)$-supercurrent. Then one can deduce the first part of Theorem 2.3 from this proposition. We should say that in our opinion the proof of this proposition in [11] is not rigorous enough. The idea of the proof is to consider a regularization S_ε of the current S, then one can show that there is a smooth convex function f_ε such that $S_\varepsilon = d'd''f_\varepsilon$, we did the exactly same things in the proof of Theorem 2.3. Obviously, f_ε converges weakly to some *current* f as ε tends to 0, but no arguments for convergence f_ε to a convex function are given, we consider this as a gap in the proof. It would be nice to give a strict proof of convergence.

Because $\mathbb{R}^m$ is a tropical analog of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^m$, the last proposition is a tropical analog of the following statement from the complex geometry.

Proposition 3.3. *For any closed and positive* $(1,1)$*-current* ω *on* $(\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\})^m$ *there is a plurisubharmonic function* $\rho\in \mathrm{Psh}((\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\})^m)$ *such that*

$$\omega = i\partial\overline{\partial}\rho.$$

We believe that this statement should be true, unfortunately we don't have an exact reference, the local version of this statement one can find in [4, Proposition 1.19, Chapter III]

It seems that the current S^n_φ may be interesting for a general m, not only when $m=n+1$. In particular, somehow similar construction was used in [2] to prove the homological convexity of complements to amoebas of algebraic sets of higher codimensions.

Let us make the summary of the results of this section:

(1) To define a generalized amoeba we need a map φ from a real vector space L to the space of imaginary normalized holomorphic differentials. The generalized amoeba $\mathscr{A}_\varphi$ is a subset of the dual space L^*.
(2) Suppose that $m=n+1$. Then to define the order map ν_φ from the set Υ of connected components of $L^*\setminus\mathscr{A}_\varphi$ to $\Lambda^n L^*$ we need to choose an orientation on L. The convex hull of the image of the order map is called the Newton polytope N_φ. There is a correspondence between the normal cones to points of N_φ and recession cones of connected components of $L^*\setminus\mathscr{A}_\varphi$.
(3) If an orientation and a scalar product on the space L are chosen. Then one can define the Ronkin function R_φ and its Monge-Ampère measure MR_φ. In this case we can identify $\Lambda^n L^*$ and L, therefore we can define the order map $\check{\nu}_\varphi$ as the map from the set Υ to L, and the Newton polytope as $\check{N}_\varphi$ the subset of L. The volume of the Newton polytope $\check{N}_\varphi$ is equal to the total mass of MR_φ.

References

[1] L.A.Aizenberg, A.P.Yuzhakov, Integral representations and residues in multidimensional complex analysis, Providence (RI), Amer. Math. Soc., 1983.
[2] N.A. Bushueva, A.K. Tsikh, On amoebas of algebraic sets of higher codimensions. Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova 279 (2012), 59-71; translation in Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 279 (2012), no. 1, 52-63
[3] V. I. Danilov, A. G. Khovanskii, Newton polyhedra and an algorithm for computing Hodge-Deligne numbers, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat., 50:5 (1986), 925-945
[4] Jean-Pierre Demailly, Complex analytic and differential geometry
[5] Israel Gelfand, Mikhail Kapranov, Andrey Zelevinsky, Discriminants, resultants and multidimensional determinants, Birkhauser, Boston, 1994, vii + 523 pp.
[6] Mikael Forsberg, Mikael Passare, August Tsikh, Laurent determinants and arrangements of hyperplane amoebas. Adv. Math. 151 (2000), no. 1, 45-70.
[7] Ilia Itenberg, Ludmil Katzarkov, Grigory Mikhalkin, Ilia Zharkov, Tropical Homology, arXiv:1604.01838
[8] Philipp Jell, Kristin Shaw, Jascha Smacka Superforms, Tropical Cohomology and Poincaré Duality, arXiv:1512.07409
[9] Kiumars Kaveh, A. G. Khovanskii, Newton-Okounkov bodies, semigroups of integral points, graded algebras and intersection theory. Ann. of Math. (2) 176 (2012), no. 2, 925- 978.
[10] Igor Krichever, Amoebas, Ronkin function, and Monge-Ampère measures of algebraic curves with marked points. Topology, geometry, integrable systems, and mathematical physics, 265-278, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, 234, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2014.
[11] Aron Lagerberg, Super currents and tropical geometry. Math. Z. 270 (2012), no. 3-4, 1011-1050.
[12] Lionel Lang, Harmonic Tropical Curves, arXiv:1501.07121
[13] Farid Madani, Mounir Nisse, Generalized logarithmic Gauss map and its relation to (co)amoebas. Math. Nachr. 286 (2013), no. 14-15, 1510-1513.
[14] Mikael Passare, Hans Rullgård, Amoebas, Monge-Ampère measures, and triangulations of the Newton polytope, Duke Math. J. 121 (2004), no. 3, 481-507.
[15] Jeffrey Rauch, Alan Taylor, The Dirichlet problem for the multidimensional Monge-Ampère equation, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 7 (1977), 345-364.
[16] R. Tyrrell Rockafellar, Convex analysis. Princeton Mathematical Series, No. 28 Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J. 1970 xviii+451 pp.

Institute of Mathematics and Computer Science, Siberian Federal University, 79 Svobodny pr., 660041 Krasnoyarsk, Russia

E-mail address: eliyashev@gmail.com